

# **Application No. 15**

## **Commission District 9    Community Council 15**

### **APPLICATION SUMMARY**

Applicant/Representative: Q2 Kings Mountain 485, LLC / Juan J. Mayol, Jr., Esq.

Location: Southeast corner of SW 344 Street and SW 192 Avenue, south of Florida City's most westerly limits

Total Acreage: ± 20.76 Gross Acres; ± 20 Net Acres

Current Land Use Plan Map Designation: Low Density Residential (2.5 to 6.0 DU/Ac.)

Requested Land Use Plan Map Designation: Low-Medium Density Residential (6 to 13 DU/Ac.)

Amendment Type: Standard

Existing Zoning/Site Condition: AU: Agriculture – Residential 5 gross acres / Fallow agricultural land

### **RECOMMENDATIONS**

Staff: **DENY, DO NOT TRANSMIT (August 25, 2006)**

Redland Community Council: **TO BE DETERMINED**

Planning Advisory Board (PAB) acting as Local Planning Agency: **TO BE DETERMINED**

Board of County Commissioners: **TO BE DETERMINED**

Final Recommendation of PAB acting as Local Planning Agency: **TO BE DETERMINED**

Final Action of Board of County Commissioners: **TO BE DETERMINED**

Staff recommends: **DENY, DO NOT TRANSMIT**, the proposed standard Land Use Plan Map amendment based on the Staff Conclusions located at the end of this report and summarized below:

- Approval of the “Low-Medium Density Residential” (6 to 13 DU/Ac) category as requested for the subject property by the applicant would be incompatible with the existing development patterns of the area.
- The redesignation of the application site would nominally add to the residential land capacity.
- Public facilities and services in this area will require significant upgrade to adequately serve the application site.
- The subject application site does not impact any historic resources but does impact environmental resources.
- The application refers to the opportunity to develop the property in accordance with the County’s guidelines for urban form. The guidelines for urban form provide a premature basis for amending the LUP map as requested by the application.

# **PLANNING STAFF ANALYSIS**

## **Location and Land Use**

The application site is approximately 21-acres of fallow land located on the southeast corner of SW 344 Street and SW 192 Avenue, and is immediately south of the most westerly limits of Florida City. The site is designated "Low Density Residential", allowing 2.5 to 6 dwelling units per gross acre, and is zoned AU, which allows agricultural uses and residences at a maximum density of 1 residential unit on 5 gross acres (see Appendix A: Map Series). The site is owned by the applicant (see Appendix B: Amendment Application).

## **Adjacent Land Use and Zoning**

Directly north of the application site is SW 344 Street beyond which are fallow tracts of land beyond which is the Cameron Creek Rental Apartments, built in 2001 on approximately 10 acres at the density of approximately 15 dwelling units per acre. East of the application is the well maintained Goldcoaster RV Resort and Manufactured Home Community that sits on approximately 81.35 acres with 547 units at a density of approximately 7 units per acre. This community extends east to SW 187 Avenue (S Redland Road) adjacent to the City of Florida City limits. On the east side of SW 187 Avenue, within Florida City, are a gas station, a church, a city park, a multi-family apartment complex at a density of approximately 10 dwelling units per acre, and several single-family residences. South of the application is one fallow and several vacant tracts of land. West of the application site is SW 192 Avenue beyond which is the Robert's Fruit Stand and on the south side of SW 344 Street and the Navy Wells Pineland Preserve, a County designated Natural Forest Community (NFC) further south. On the northwest corner of SW 344 Street and SW 192 Avenue is a poorly maintained and unoccupied single-family residence. The area west of SW 192 Avenue and north of theoretical SW 348 Street is designated "Estate Density Residential", which allows 1 to 2.5 dwelling units per gross acre, while the area south of theoretical SW 348 Street (Navy Wells Pineland Preserve) is designated "Environmentally Protected Parks." East of SW 192 Avenue including areas within Florida City and extending eastward beyond SW 187 Avenue is designated "Low Density Residential" on the adopted CDMP Land Use Plan (LUP) map. The site of Robert's Fruit Stand west of SW 192 Avenue is zoned BU-1 (Business-Neighborhood), while the areas to the north, east, and south of the application site are zoned AU (Agriculture - Residential 5 Acres Gross). (See Appendix A: Map Series.)

## **Land Use and Zoning History**

The Goldcoaster RV Resort and Manufactured Home Community is situated on land designated on the adopted CDMP LUP map as "Low Density Residential" (2.5 to 6 DU/Ac) and zoned AU, which allows agricultural uses and residences at a maximum density of 1 residential unit on 5 gross acres. This development sits on approximately 81.35 acres with 547 units at an approximate density of 7 units per acre. This

development, which predates the CDMP, was permitted by an Unusual Use Permit obtained in November 1969 through Resolution No. 4-ZAB-617-69 passed and adopted by the then Metropolitan Dade County Zoning Appeals Board.

The area immediately west of this application site was part of a CDMP LUP map amendment that in December 1988 redesignated lands from "Agriculture" to "Estate Density Residential" and included these lands within the Urban Development Boundary (UDB). Within the vicinity of this current application, the 1988 LUP map amendment included land north SW 336 Street between SW 192 and SW 197 Avenues and south of SW 336 Street between SW 192 and theoretical SW 202 Avenues.

In 1996 the City of Florida City annexed the area east of the application site located between SW 187 and SW 192 Avenues and between SW 328 and SW 344 Streets. The city proposes commercial and medium to high-density residential uses for this annexed area on its 1997 EAR-Based Amendment Map, however, a Land Use Plan has not been duly adopted for that area. As per Section 171.062 (2), Florida Statutes 'If the area annexed was subject to a county land use plan and county zoning or sub-division regulations, these regulations remain in full force and effect until the municipality adopts a comprehensive plan amendment that includes the annexed area'. Since the City of Florida City has not amended its Future Land Use Plan Map to officially designate land uses within the annexed area, the entire annexed area, including those areas which the city has rezoned such as the Cameron Creek rental apartments, retains the Miami-Dade County CDMP 2015-2025 Land Use Plan (LUP) map designation of "Low density residential" (2.5 to 6 DU/Ac).

## **Supply and Demand**

### Residential Land Analysis

The application site although entirely located within Minor Statistical Area (MSA) 7.6 sits on the boundary shared by MSA's 7.6 and 7.5, and is very close to MSA 7.3. Consequently the analysis area considered for this application is a combination of all three MSA's (MSA's 7.3, 7.5, and 7.6). The combined vacant land for single-family and multi-family residential development in the Analysis Area (Minor Statistical Area 7.3, 7.5, and 7.6) in 2006 was estimated to have a capacity for about 10,344 dwelling units, with about 84 percent of these units intended as single-family. The annual average residential demand in this Analysis Area is projected to increase from 501 units per year in the 2006-2010 period to 2,774 units in the 2020-2025 period. An analysis of the residential capacity by type of dwelling units shows absorption of single-family units occurring in 2018 and for multi-family to the year 2014 (See table below). The supply of residential land for both single-family and multi-family units is projected to be depleted by the year 2017.

The CDMP land use designation of "Low-Medium Density Residential" (6 to 13 DU/Ac) allows a range in density from a minimum of 6.0 to a maximum of 13 dwelling units per gross acre. The types of housing typically found in areas designated low-medium

density include single-family homes, townhouses and low-rise apartments. Zero-lot-line single-family developments in this category shall not exceed a density of 7.0 dwelling units per gross acre.

Application 15, if approved, will have a maximum impact of approximately 140 dwelling units and will have a nominal impact on total residential capacity.

**Residential Land Supply/Demand Analysis  
2006 To 2025: Application 15**

Analysis Done Separately For Each Type, I.E. No Shifting Of Demand Between Single & Multi-Family Type	Structure Type		
	Single-Family	Multifamily	Both Types
Capacity In 2006	8,653	1,691	10,344
Demand 2006-2010	333	168	501
Capacity In 2010	7,321	1,019	8,340
Demand 2010-2015	421	214	635
Capacity In 2015	5,216	0	5,165
Demand 2015-2020	1,482	578	2,060
Capacity In 2020	0	0	0
Demand 2020-2025	2,080	694	2,774
Capacity In 2025	0	0	0
<b>Depletion Year</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2014</b>	<b>2017</b>

Residential capacity is expressed in terms of housing units.

Housing demand is an annual average figure based on proposed population projections.

Source: Miami-Dade Department of Planning and Zoning, Planning Research Section, 2006.

## **Environmental Conditions**

The following information pertains to the environmental conditions of the application site. All YES entries are further described below.

### **Flood Protection**

County Flood Criteria (NGVD)	+6.5 feet
Stormwater Management	5-year storm (See Below)
Drainage Basin	C-111
Federal Flood Zone	Zone AH
Hurricane Evacuation Zone	Zone C

### **Biological Conditions**

Wetlands Permits Required	NO
Native Wetland Communities	NO
Specimen Trees	NO
Natural Forest Communities	NO
Endangered Species Habitat	NO

### **Other Considerations**

Within Wellfield Protection Area	YES
Archaeological/Historical Resources	Information Pending

## Stormwater Management

The application site has been identified by the Miami-Dade County Department of Resources Management (DERM) as a low lying area away from any canal with a significant level of flood protection for new development, and is required to provide a retention/detention system adequately designed to contain on-site the runoff generated by a 5-year storm event. A DERM Surface water permit is required for development on this site. Additionally, the development criteria and the level of on-site flood protection may change if ground water stages are increased as a result of the implementation of the Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan.

## Wellfield Protection Area

The application site is located within the Florida Keys Aqueduct basic wellfield protection area and is subject to the land use regulations contained in the Miami-Dade County Code, Section 24-43. The disposal of wastewater and stormwater, and prohibition of nonresidential land uses that generate, uses, handles disposes of, discharges or stores hazardous wastes on property located within the average pumpage wellfield protection area are regulated by the code.

## **Water and Sewer**

The application is located within the Miami-Dade County Water and Sewer Department's (MDWASD) water and sewer franchised service area. The closest public water main is a 16-inch main located at SW 187 Avenue and SW 349 Street, approximately 3,500 feet from this site. However, because an 8-inch main feeds this 16-inch main the flow pressure at this connection point is poor and will not allow for the minimum required fire flow pressures at the site. The closest point of connection that may produce the required fire flow pressures is an existing 12-inch main located at SW 178 Avenue and SW 360 Street, approximately 11,000 feet (approximately 2 miles) from the site. MDWASD recommends a new 16-inch main, connected to the existing 12-inch main be installed on SW 360 Street to SW 187 Avenue, and then connected to the existing 16-inch main located at SW 187 Avenue and SW 349 Street. From this point the 16-inch main should be extended in SW 187 Avenue to SW 344 Street, then west to SW 192 Avenue then north to the northwest corner of the site.

The source of water is the MDWASD's South Dade Utilities (formerly Rex Utilities), which consist of five small wellfields each providing chlorination treatment prior to distribution. This system has a remaining available rated treatment plant capacity of 2.8 million gallons per day (mgd). However, the South Dade system is very old, and although considered a system, the interconnection between the wellfields is poor and inefficient, and portions of the system can only be served with water from one wellfield. The application site can only be served with water from two of the treatment plants within the South Dade System, namely the Everglades Labor Camp and Newton treatment plants, with the majority of the water coming from the Everglades Labor Camp Treatment Plant. The Newton plant has a DERM rated treatment capacity of 2.16 mgd with a 5-year average day production of 1.98 mgd, and the Everglades Labor Camp

Plant has a DERM rated treatment capacity of 0.96 mgd with a 5-year average day production of 0.72 mgd. The estimated potential water demand from this application is 67,250 gallons per day (0.0673 mgd), therefore, the water treatment plants have sufficient capacity to serve this application.

The estimated water flow figures generated above are used solely for the purpose of evaluating the impact of the proposed potential development on the level of service (LOS) of that water treatment facility serving the site and are not used for water supply planning purposes. If this application is approved, the change in land use will not result in an increased demand for water supply above that projected by the County's Water and Sewer Department through the year 2025 utilizing population projections approved by the County and the South Florida Water Management District. MDWASD is currently assembling alternative water supply projects that will be used to meet the future water supply demand of Miami-Dade County. It is anticipated that these projects will be identified and adopted into the CDMP 10-Year Water Supply Plan by March 2008.

Sewer service to the application site can be achieved by one of two alternatives. One alternative is to upgrade the existing pump station 1022 (PS 1022), located at SW 348 Street and SW 189 Avenue, and replace an existing 8-inch force main connected from PS 1022 to an existing 12-inch force main located at SW 352 Street and SW 188 Avenue with a minimum 12-inch force main. The other alternative is to construct a new pump station, on site, and connect to the existing 12-inch force main located at SW 352 Street and SW 188 Avenue with a minimum 12-inch force main installed in SW 352 Street to SW 192 Avenue then north in SW 192 Avenue to application site then take an 8-inch force main onto the site. PS 1022 is under conditional moratorium, hence the required upgrade or replacement. All other impacted pump stations are operating within their mandated criteria. Treatment is provided at the South District Waste Water Treatment Plant, which has a remaining available design capacity of approximately 17.17 mgd. The estimated potential sewage flow demand of this application is 67,250 gallons per day. Therefore, the wastewater treatment plant has sufficient capacity to serve this application.

The net increase in water and sewer usage between the current CDMP designation and the proposed CDMP designation is 23,850 gallons per day.

## **Solid Waste**

Application 15 is located within the Miami-Dade County Department of Solid Waste Management's (DSWM) waste service area for garbage and trash collections. The closest DSWM facility is Moody Drive Trash and Recycling Center (12970 SW 268 Street), which is approximately 7 miles away. The projected impact of the application on collection services is minimal.

## Parks

The nearest park to Application No. 15 is the Navy Wells Pineland Preserve, a Natural Areas Preserve of 251 acres, which is located at SW 360 Street and SW 192 Avenue, less than 1 mile from the application site.

The application is located within Park Benefit District 3 (PBD 3), which has a surplus capacity of 169.18 acres when measured by the county concurrency level-of-services standard. The impact of the application will increase the potential population in PBD 3 by 371. Approval of this application would decrease available reserve capacity by 1 acre to 168.18 acres.

## Public Schools

Students generated by this application will attend those schools identified in the following table. This table also identifies the school's enrollment as of October 2005, the school's Florida Inventory of School Houses (FISH) Design Capacity, which includes permanent and relocatable student stations, and the school's FISH utilization percentage. Pursuant to the state-mandated Interlocal Agreement for Public School Facility Planning, between Miami-Dade County and the Miami-Dade County School Board, the school board and development community are required to collaborate where proposed development would result in an increase in the schools' FISH % utilization in excess of 115%.

School	2005 Enrollment*		FISH Capacity**	% FISH Utilization	
	Current	With Application		Current	With Application
Florida City Elementary	757	793	864	88%	92%
Homestead Middle	1,206	1222	1,006	120%	121%
Homestead Senior High	2,909	2,931	3,072	94%	95%

\*Enrollment as of: October 15, 2005

\*\*FISH Capacity includes the total of Permanent Student Stations and Portable Student Stations

This application, if approved, will increase the potential student population by an additional 74 students. Approximately 36 of these additional students are elementary students and will attend the Florida City Elementary, increasing the FISH from 88% to 92%. Approximately 16 students will attend Homestead Middle, increasing the FISH utilization from 120% to 121%, and approximately 22 students will attend Homestead Senior High, increasing the FISH utilization from 94% to 95%. Therefore, Homestead

Middle currently exceeds, and with approval of this application will further exceed, the 115% FISH utilization design capacity threshold set by the Interlocal Agreement.

The following table outlines those relief schools that are currently being planned, designed, or constructed.

School	Student Stations	Scheduled Opening
State School SS-1 (Redland/Homestead Middle Schools relief)	1,662	2007
State School TTT (Homestead Senior High Schools relief)	2,858	2009

No additional relief schools are currently proposed in the 5-year Plan for this application site.

## Roadways

### Existing Conditions

Primary access to the Application site is from SW 344 Street (SR 9336/W Palm Drive), a two-lane arterial between SW 192 and SW 182 Avenues and a four-lane divided arterial from SW 182 Avenue to US 1. SW 344 Street connects to SW 177 Avenue (SR 997/Krome Avenue), US 1 (SR 5/South Dixie Highway), and the Homestead Extension of the Florida Turnpike (HEFT/SR 821) to the east, which provide access to other portions of the county. Access to the HEFT is provided by interchanges north of SW 344 Street and at SW 312 Street.

Current traffic conditions on SW 344 Street, Krome Avenue, US 1, and the HEFT in the vicinity of the application site are acceptable. SW 344 Street from the Everglades National Park to SW 182 Avenue and between SW 182 Avenue and US-1 are operating acceptable Level of Service (LOS) C or better, above the adopted LOS D standard applicable to this roadway. Krome Avenue between SW 320 Street and US 1, the HEFT from SW 312 Street to US 1, and US 1 between SW 304 Street and SW 344 Street, are also operating at LOS C or better, above the adopted LOS D and E+20% standards applicable to these roadway segments. The "Traffic Impact Analysis" Table, below, shows the LOS for all roadways within the general vicinity of the application site.

The LOS is represented by one of the letters "A" through "F", with "A" generally representing the most favorable driving conditions and "F" representing the least favorable.

### Traffic Concurrency Evaluation

An evaluation of peak-period traffic concurrency conditions without the application's impact, as of June 22, 2006, which considers reserved trips from approved developments not yet constructed and any programmed roadway capacity improvements, predicts that SW 344 Street, SW 177 Avenue, US 1, and the HEFT in the vicinity of the application site will continue to operate at LOS C or better, above their adopted LOS D and E+20% standards.

### Application Impact

The "Estimated Peak Hour Trip Generation" Table, below, identifies the estimated number of PM peak-hour trips expected to be generated by the potential development that could occur under the requested CDMP land use designation of "Low-Medium Density Residential (6 to 13 DUs/Acre) and compares them to the number of trips that would be generated by the potential development that could occur under the current CDMP land use designation of "Low Density Residential (2.5 to 6 Dus/Acre)." The estimated trip difference between the requested and current CDMP land use designations is an additional 5 PM peak-hour trips, if the application site were to be developed with residential use at a density of 13 dwelling units per gross acre. The subject property is currently fallow and assumed not to generate peak hour trips; thus, the estimated trip difference between the requested CDMP Land Use designation and the existing use are approximately 135 more PM peak-hour trips.

An evaluation of peak-period traffic concurrency conditions with the application's impact, which considers reserved trips from approved development not yet constructed plus the trips to be generated by the requested land use, predicts that the concurrency LOS of SW 344 Street, SW 177 Avenue, US 1, and the HEFT in the vicinity of the application site will continue to operate at LOS C or better, above their adopted LOS D and E+20% standards.

CDMP Amendment Application No. 15  
 Traffic Impact Analysis on Roadways Serving the Amendment Site  
 Roadway Lanes, Existing and Concurrency Peak Period Operating Level of Service (LOS)

Sta. Num.	Roadway	Location/Link	Num. Lanes	Adopted LOS Std.*	Peak Hour Cap.	Peak Hour Vol.	Existing LOS	Approved D.O.'s Trips	Conc. LOS w/o Amend.	Amendment Peak Hour Trips	Total Trips With Amend.	Concurrency LOS with Amend.
151	Ingraham Highway (SR 9336)	Everglades National Park to SW 192 Ave.	2 UD	D	1530	195	A	2	A	1	198	A
84	SW 344 St. (SR 936)	SW 192 Ave. to 182 Ave.	2 UD	D	1460	859	B	341	B	42	1242	C
2548	SW 344 St. (SR 936)	SW 182 Ave. to US 1	4 DV	D	3110	1726	C	N/A	C	23	1749	C
131	SW 177Ave (SR 997)/ Krome Ave.	SW 320 St. to SW 344 St.	2 UD	D	1460	838	C	N/A	C	37	875	C
518	SW 177Ave (SR 997)/ Krome Ave.	US 1 to SW 344 St.	2 UD	D	1520	183	B	228	B	19	430	B
544	US 1 (SR 5/S.Dixie Hwy)	SW 304 St. to SW 344 St.	4 DV	E+20%	4100	1931	B	77	B	23	2031	B
2262	HEFT (SR 821)	US 1 to SW 312 St.	4 LA	D	6760	1054	A	30	A	0	1084	A

Source: Miami-Dade County Department of Planning and Zoning; Miami-Dade Public Works Department and Florida Department of Transportation, July 2006.

Notes: \*County adopted roadway level of service standard applicable to the roadway segment

DV= Divided Roadway, UD= Undivided Roadway, LA Limited Access

Estimated Peak Hour Trip Generation  
 By Current CDMP and Requested Use Designations

Application Number	Assumed Use For Current CDMP Designation/ Estimated No. Of Trips	Assumed Use For Requested CDMP Designation/ Estimated No. Of Trips	Estimated Trip Difference Between Current and Requested CDMP Land Use Designation
15	Low Density Residential (2.5 to 6 DUs/Acre) 124 Single Family Detached Units	Low-Medium Density Residential (6 to 13 DUs/Acre) 269 Single Family Attached Units	
			130                  135                  +5

Source: Institute of Transportation Engineers' (ITE) Trip Generation, 7th Edition, 2003; Miami-Dade Public Works Department, July 2006.

## Future Conditions

The roadway capacity improvements for the roadway segments within the general vicinity of this application site identified in the County's 2007 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) are the widening of Krome Avenue, US 1, and SW 328 Street as described in the table below.

Programmed Road Capacity Improvements  
Fiscal Years 2006-2011

Roadway	From	To	Type of Improvement	Fiscal Year
SR 997/Krome Avenue	SR 5/US-1	SW 328 Street	Add lanes and Reconstruct	2009 - 2010
SR 5/US-1	Card Sound Road	SR 821/HEFT	Add Auxiliary lane	2008 - 2009
SW 328 Street	US-1	SW 162 Avenue	Widen to 4 Lanes	*Prior funding
SW 328 Street	SW 162 Avenue	SW 137 Avenue	Widen to 4 Lanes	*Prior funding

Source: Miami-Dade Transportation Improvement Program 2006-2011, Metropolitan Planning Organization, June 2007

Notes: \* Prior funded projects are to be constructed through a joint venture with the City of Homestead

According to the Miami-Dade Transportation Plan to the year 2030, the following roadway capacity improvement projects are planned for fiscal years 2005-2015 for SW 312, SW 320, and SW 328 Streets, as described in the table below.

Planned Roadway Capacity Improvements  
Fiscal Years 2005-2015

Roadway	From	To	Type of Improvement	Priority
SW 312 Street	SW 176 Avenue	SR 821/HEFT	Widen to 5 Lanes	I
SW 320 Street	SW 187 Avenue	US-1/S Dixie Highway	Widen to 3 Lanes	I
SW 328 Street	US-1/S Dixie Highway	SW 162 Avenue	Widen to 4 Lanes	I
SR 997/Krome Avenue	US-1/S Dixie Highway	SW 296 Street	Truck by-pass/ Widen 2 to 4 Lanes	II

Source: Miami-Dade Transportation Plan to the Year 2030, Metropolitan Planning Organization, December 2004

Notes: Priority I – Project improvement to be funded by 2009

Priority II – Project improvement to be funded between 2010-2015

The table below shows the roadways serving and proximate to the application site projected to operate at LOS F in the year 2015. US 1, between SW 340 Street and SW 344 Street, is projected to operate at LOS F (1.11), and SW 162 Avenue, between SW 312 Street and SW 320 Street, is projected to operate at LOS F (1.15). These roadways are projected to operate at LOS F with and without the application impacts.

2015 Volume-to-Capacity (V/C) Ratios  
Roadway Segments Projected to Deteriorate to Level Of Service F

Roadway Segment	Volume-to-Capacity Ratio	
	Without App. 15	With App. 15
US-1, between SW 340 Street and SW 344 Street	1.11	1.11
SW 162 Avenue, between SW 312 and SW 320 Streets	1.15	1.15

Source: Metropolitan Planning Organization, July 2006.

The applicant's transportation consultant, Cathy Sweetapple & Associates, submitted a Transportation Analysis report for Applications 13, 14 and 15. Miami-Dade County Department of Planning and Zoning staff reviewed the report and agreed with the conclusions regarding Application 15. All traffic count stations on roadways adjacent to the application site were found to operate and projected to operate at acceptable levels of service during PM peak-hour. Available capacity and acceptable levels of service are maintained for the adjacent traffic count stations and the roadway segments, meeting the traffic concurrency standards for roadways adopted in the county's Comprehensive Development Master Plan.

## **Transit Service**

### Existing Service

Currently there is no transit route servicing the application site. The nearest transit routes to the application site are Metrobus Routes 70 and 344, with the closest bus stop located approximately  $\frac{1}{4}$  mile from the application site. The table below shows the routes and respective headways in tabular form.

Metrobus Route Service						
Route	Peak	Headways (in minutes)			Stop Locations	Type of Service
		Off-Peak	Sat	Sun		
344	30	30	30	30	SW 344 St and SW 187 Ave	L
70	30	30	60	60	SW 344 St and SW 187 Ave	L

Source: 2006 Transit Development Program Fiscal Years 2007-2011, May 2006; Miami-Dade Transit, July 2006.

### Future Conditions

According to the 2006 five-year Transit Development Program (TDP), Route 344 will be improved to reduce weekday peak headway time from 20 and 15 minutes; Route 70 will be improved to reduce peak headway time from 30 to 15 minutes, weekend headways from 60 to 30 minutes, and realign the route along the South Miami-Dade Busway Extension; and a new Florida City/ Medley MAX route is planned that will introduce peak hour express service between the Florida City/Homestead area and Medley (2009-2011).

Regarding other major transit projects in the vicinity of the application site, the South Miami-Dade Busway Extension, an 11.5-mile Bus Rapid Transit facility running parallel to US 1 from Cutler Ridge to SW 344 Street in Florida City. The project is split into two segments: Segment 1South (SW 232 Street to SW 264 Street), construction was completed in 2004 and Segment 1 North (SW 200 Street to SW 232 Street),

construction completed in April 2005. Segment 2 (SW 264 to SW 344 Street) is scheduled for completion and open for service in 2007.

### Application Impact

A Trip generation analysis was performed in the Traffic Analysis Zone (TAZ) number 1436 where the application is requested. If approved, this application will produce a minimal increase in the number of transit trips, which would not warrant additional changes beyond those already planned for the area.

### **Other Planning Considerations**

Florida City in 2005 passed City Resolution 05-35 showing its intent to annex lands west and south of the City, including the application site. Filing of the actual annexation application has, however, been postponed pending Miami-Dade County Board of Commissioners final actions on Mitigation Adjustment Policy and on Incorporation and Annexation reports.

No covenant was submitted for this application as of July 28, 2006.

## **STAFF CONCLUSIONS**

The Department of Planning and Zoning recommends **DENIAL** of the proposed Land Use Plan (LUP) map standard amendment to change land use designation from "Low Density Residential Communities" (2.5 to 6.0 dwelling units per gross acre) to "Low-Medium Density Residential Communities" (6 to 13 dwelling units per gross acre) based on the following considerations:

1. Approval of the "Low-Medium Density Residential" (6 to 13 DU/Ac) category as requested for the subject property by the applicant would be incompatible with the existing development patterns of the area. The Goldcoaster RV Resort and Manufactured Home Community, east of the site, was established through an unusual use permit obtained in 1969 and is developed at a density of approximately 7 units per acre. East of this community is SW 187 Avenue (Redland Road) and the City of Florida City. East of SW 187 Avenue is a gas station, a church, a city park, a multi-family apartment complex at a density of approximately 10 dwelling units per acre, and several single-family residences. Lands north and south of the site are either fallow agricultural or vacant undeveloped properties. West of the site is Robert's Fruits Stand, estate density homes and agricultural uses. South of the Robert's Fruit Stand property is a County designated NFC site (the Navy Wells Pineland Preserve) designated "Environmentally Protected Parks" on the LUP map. Development of the application site as "Low Density Residential" will provide a better transition of land use density and intensity between the estate density homes, agricultural areas, the NFC site west of SW 192 Avenue, and the more intense uses within

Florida City and the adjacent Goldcoaster RV Resort and Manufactured Home Community.

2. Since the City of Florida City has not amended its Future Land Use Plan Map, as required by Section 171.062 (2), Florida Statutes, to officially designate land uses in the area annexed in 1996, the entire area retains the Miami-Dade County CDMP 2015-2025 Land Use Plan (LUP) map designation of "Low Density Residential." The densities promoted by the City of Florida City such as the Cameron Creek development provides insufficient and premature basis for amending the County's LUP map.
3. The redesignation to "Low-Medium Density Residential" of the application site would add approximately 140 units, representing a nominal increase, to the residential land capacity of the analysis area, which is projected to be depleted by the year 2017.
4. Public facilities and services in this area will require significant upgrades to adequately serve the application site. The middle school serving the area is operating above the adopted 115% Florida Inventory of School Houses (FISH) utilization threshold and will further exceed the FISH threshold with this development. Relief for this school will come online in 2007. Despite the fact that there is adequate water and sewer service capacity, considerable improvements to the distribution networks are required for services to be extended to the application site. To achieve adequate fire flow to the site, installation of approximately 2 miles of water mains will be required. Adequate sewer service to the site will require that the existing pump station 1022, currently under conditional moratorium, be upgraded or replaced by a higher capacity station in addition to the installation of almost 1 mile of sewer mains.

All roadways accessing the site are currently operating within their respective adopted Level of Service (LOS) standards and are projected to continue operating within their adopted LOS standards with the impact of the application. Traffic conditions projected to the year 2015 indicates that US-1 between SW 341 Street and SW 344 Street is projected to operate at LOS F, and SW 162 Avenue, between SW 312 Street and SW 320 Street is projected to operate at LOS F with and without the impact of the application.

The application site is served by Metrobus Routes 344 and 70 with the nearest bus stop located approximately ¼ mile east of the application site. According to the 2006 Transit Development Program, these routes are planned for headway improvements from 30 to 20 and 15 minutes, and the Florida City/ Medley MAX is a proposed route that will introduce peak hour express service between Florida City/Homestead areas to Medley by 2011.

5. The CDMP promotes land use intensification and increased densities, which support transit ridership, on properties located within ¼ mile of a bus stop served

by a bus route(s) with a minimum 20-minute headway. The requested redesignation to “Low-Medium Density Residential”, although providing for intensification of the site, is not supportive of transit ridership due to the fact that the bus routes serving the site have 30-minute headways. Additionally, the eastern limit of the site is approximately  $\frac{1}{4}$  mile from the nearest bus stop while the western limit is approximately  $\frac{1}{2}$  mile from that bus stop.

6. The application site does not impact any historic resources but does impact environmental resources such wellfield protection areas and storm water. Additionally, the development criteria and the level of on-site flood protection may change if ground water stages are increased as a result of the implementation of the Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan.
7. The application refers to the opportunity to develop the property in accordance with the County’s guidelines for urban form. The guidelines for urban form while establishing a generalized pattern for the location, intensity and density of land uses and the interconnecting network of vehicular and pedestrian movement, also establishes, in guideline 1, that section line roads should form the boundaries of neighborhoods. The application site is located between section line roads SW 187 and SW 197 Avenues. SW 197 Avenue is only theoretical in nature south of SW 336 Street, and there are no programmed or planned improvements for the construction of this segment of SW 197 Avenue, which would allow for completion of the neighborhood block. Additionally, the site is approximately 1 mile east of the UDB, adjacent to “Estate Density Residential” lands, and within a “Low Density Residential” area. Therefore, the guidelines for urban form provide a premature basis for amending the LUP map as requested by the application.

### **Consistency Review With CDMP Goals, Objectives, Policies, and Concepts**

The following CDMP Goals, Objectives, Policies, and Concepts will be furthered should the application be adopted:

- LU-8F: (UDB) should contain residential capacity for 10 years after (EAR) plus 5-year surplus.
- OBJECTIVE LU-5: Activities consistent with the adopted Population Projections, and Land Use Plan (LUP) map.

The following CDMP Goals, Objectives, Policies, and Concepts will be impeded should the application be adopted:

- LU-3B: Natural resources and systems protected from incompatible land use.
- LU-4C: Neighborhoods protected from disruption or degradation.
- LU-8A: Accommodate residential development in suitable locations and densities
- LU-8D: The maintenance of internal consistency among all Elements of the CDMP...unless facilities necessary to serve the area are included in the plan.
- LU-8E Amendments to the CDMP unless facilities & funding in Plan LUP map

- (ii): Evaluated if effects LOS;...
- (iii): Compatible with abutting and nearby land uses and protect the character of established neighborhoods.
- LU-10A: Redirect higher density towards activity centers.
- TC-1A: [Minimum Traffic LOS standard for roadways.]
- WS-2A: [Proscribes the minimum level of service standards for potable water and sanitary.]
- Objective CIE-1: CIE maintain adopted level of service standards.
- Objective CIE-3: Land use decisions will not degrade adopted LOS.
- CIE-3C: [Contains the Potable Water, Sewer, Solid Waste, Traffic Circulation, Mass Transit, Park and Recreation, Drainage Levels of Service as proscribed in the individual elements.]



# **APPENDICES**

Appendix A Map Series

Appendix B Amendment Application

Appendix C Miami-Dade County Public Schools Analysis

Appendix D Applicant's Traffic Study

Appendix E Fiscal Impact Analysis

Appendix F Proposed Declaration of Restrictions

Appendix G Photos of Application Site and Surroundings

April 2006 Cycle

Application No. 15

# **APPENDIX A**

## **Map Series**

April 2006 Cycle

Application No. 15

AERIAL PHOTO: APPLICATION NO. 15




APPLICATION AREA

2006 AERIAL



SOURCE: MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, DEPARTMENT OF  
PLANNING AND ZONING, JULY 2006

APPLICATION NO. 15  
CURRENT ZONING MAP



APPLICATION AREA



UNINCORPORATED MIAMI-DADE



FLORIDA CITY

**MIAMI-DADE ZONING DISTRICTS**

GU INTERIM - USES DEPEND ON CHARACTER OF NEIGHBORHOOD, OTHERWISE EU-2 STANDARDS APPLY

AU AGRICULTURE - RESIDENTIAL 5 ACRES GROSS

EU-M ESTATES MOD.1 FAMILY 15,000 SQ.FT. NET

RU-1 SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL 7,500 SQ. FT. NET

BU-1 BUSINESS - NEIGHBORHOOD

**FLORIDA CITY ZONING DISTRICTS**


RM-15 RESIDENTIAL MULTIFAMILY DISTRICT

RS-3 RESIDENTIAL SINGLE-FAMILY DISTRICT

RD-1 RESIDENTIAL DUPLEX DISTRICT

C-1 NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL DISTRICT


CF COMMUNITY FACILITY DISTRICT



N

SOURCE: MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND ZONING, JULY 2006

**APPLICATION NO. 15**  
**EXISTING LAND USE**



**LEGEND**



APPLICATION AREA

**EXISTING LAND USE**

- SINGLE-FAMILY
- TWO-FAMILY DUPLEXES
- MOBILE HOME PARKS
- TOWNHOUSES
- LOW-DENSITY MULTI-FAMILY
- TRANSIENT-RESIDENTIAL (HOTELS, MOTELS)
- COMMERCIAL, SHOPPING CENTERS, STADIA
- INSTITUTIONAL
- STREETS, ROADS, EXPRESSWAYS, RAMPS
- STREETS, EXPRESSWAY R/W
- AGRICULTURE

**EXISTING LAND USE (Cont'd)**


- PARKS, PRESERVES, CONSERVATION AREAS
- VACANT UNPROTECTED
- INLAND WATERS

0 0.05 0.1 0.2  
 Miles



SOURCE: MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, DEPARTMENT OF  
 PLANNING AND ZONING, JULY 2006

**APPLICATION NO. 15  
CDMP LAND USE PLAN**



**LEGEND**



APPLICATION AREA

**CDMP LAND USE**

- RESIDENTIAL COMMUNITIES**
- [Light Green Box] ESTATE DENSITY RESIDENTIAL (EDR) 1 TO 2.5 DU/AC
- [Yellow Box] LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL (LDR) 2.5 TO 6 DU/AC
- [Red Box] BUSINESS AND OFFICE
- [Orange Box] ENVIRONMENTALLY PROTECTED PARKS
- MINOR ROADWAYS (2 LANES)

NOTE: This figure is a graphic representation drawn at a different scale than the Official Adopted 2015 and 2025 Land Use Plan (LUP) map, which was adopted at a scale of one inch to a mile. The LUP map with subsequent adopted amendments, governs where this figure differs.

0    0.05    0.1    0.2  
Miles

SOURCE: MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND ZONING, AUGUST 2006



## **APPENDIX B**

### **Amendment Application**

April 2006 Cycle

Application No. 15

**APPLICATION FOR AN AMENDMENT TO THE  
LAND USE PLAN MAP  
OF THE MIAMI-DADE COUNTY  
COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT MASTER PLAN**

1. **APPLICANTS**

Q2 Kings Mountain 485, LLC, a Florida limited liability company  
c/o Juan J. Mayol, Jr., Esq.  
Holland & Knight LLP  
701 Brickell Avenue, Suite 3000  
Miami, Florida 33131

2. **APPLICANTS' REPRESENTATIVE**

Juan J. Mayol, Jr., Esq.  
Holland & Knight LLP  
701 Brickell Avenue, Suite 3000  
Miami, Florida 33131  
(305) 789-7787  
(305) 789-7799 (fax)  
juan.mayol@hkaw.com

By:

Juan J. Mayol, Jr., Esq.

5.1-06

Date

3. **DESCRIPTION OF REQUESTED CHANGE**

A. **A change to the Land Use Element, Land Use Plan map (Item A.1(d) in the fee schedule) is requested.**

The Applicant requests the re-designation of the subject property from "Low Density Residential" to "Low-Medium Density Residential."

B. **Description of the Subject Area.**

The subject property consists of approximately ±20 gross acres of land located in Section 26, Township 57, Range 38, in unincorporated Miami-Dade County. More specifically, the subject property is located south of SW 344<sup>th</sup> Street between SW 188<sup>th</sup> Avenue and SW 192<sup>nd</sup> Avenue.

C. Acreage.

Subject application area: ±20 gross acres  
Acreage owned by Applicant: ±20 gross acres

D. Requested Change.

It is requested that the subject area be re-designated on the Land Use Plan map from "Low Density Residential" to "Low-Medium Density Residential."

4. REASONS FOR AMENDMENT

The Applicant requests the re-designation of the Property from "Low Density Residential" to "Low-Medium Density Residential" (5.0 to 13.0 dwelling units per gross acre) to permit the development of much needed residential development within the Urban Development Boundary ("UDB").

Though currently zoned for agricultural uses but designated for residential development, the property is located just to the west of a mobile home park and the growing Florida City community. As such, the proposed residential development would be compatible with the development trend in the surrounding area. The development of the Property for residential purposes will help accommodate the County's projected population growth within the UDB.

The Property is located to the west of the Florida City limits. Within Florida City, the density of residential development is up to 15.0 units per acre, which is greater than the density permitted under the County's "Low-Medium Density Residential" designation. Therefore, developing the Property with Low-Medium Density Residential development would be compatible with the nearby land uses. The Applicants' request to increase the density of this ±20 gross acre parcel of land will provide an opportunity to develop the Property in accordance with the County's guidelines for urban form which establish a generalized pattern for the location of different uses with varying densities and intensities in an interconnecting pattern of accessibility. The "Low-Medium Density Residential" designation allows for single-family homes, townhouses, and low-rise apartments. Other uses permitted in Residential Communities are neighborhood and community services, including schools, parks, houses of worship, day care centers, group housing facilities, and utility facilities. *See CDMP, Page I-20.2.* This mix of residential units and institutional uses will enable the Applicant to provide a range of housing options for residents with varying income levels.

The Countywide supply and demand for residential development is at a critical stage. It is estimated that the remaining capacity of residentially designated vacant land within the UDB will be depleted in the year 2018. *See Initial Recommendations: October 2005 Applications to Amend the Comprehensive Development Master Plan, Page 2-15.* The Property lies within the County's South Dade Planning Analysis Tier (the "South Dade Tier"), and more specifically within Minor Statistical Area 7.5 (the "MSA"). The County's most recent assessment of available residential land within the South Dade Tier strongly suggests that the requested

amendment would help meet the growing residential needs of the community. The projected demands for housing in the South Dade Tier will increase from 1,949 units per year in the 2005 to 2010 period to about 7,000 units per year in the 2020 to 2025 period. *Id. at 2-19.*

Based on the foregoing, the Applicant believes that the approval of this application would be timely, compatible and will help to satisfy the boding deficiency of available housing stock within the UDB.

Accordingly, approval of the requested Amendment would further implementation of the following CDMP policies:

**LAND USE POLICY 1E:** In conducting its planning, regulatory, capital improvements and intergovernmental coordination activities, Miami-Dade County shall seek to facilitate the planning of residential areas as neighborhoods which include recreational, educational and other public facilities, houses of worship, and safe and convenient circulation of automotive, pedestrian and bicycle traffic.

**LAND USE POLICY 1F:** In planning and designing all new residential development and redevelopment in the county, Miami-Dade County shall vigorously promote implementation of the "Guidelines for Urban Form" contained in the "Interpretation of the Land Use Plan Map" text adopted as an extension of these policies. (Residential Communities).

**LAND USE POLICY 1G:** To promote housing diversity and to avoid creation of monotonous developments, Miami-Dade County shall vigorously promote the inclusion of a variety of housing types in all residential communities through its area planning, zoning, subdivision, site planning, and housing finance activities, among others. In particular, Miami-Dade County shall review its zoning and subdivision practices and regulations and shall amend them, as practical, to promote this policy.

**LAND USE OBJECTIVE 2:** Decisions regarding the location, extent and intensity of future land use in Miami-Dade County, and urban expansion in particular, will be based upon the physical and financial feasibility of providing, by the year 2005, all urbanized areas with services at levels of service (LOS) which meet or exceed the minimum standards adopted in the Capital Improvements Element.

**LAND USE POLICY 4C:** Residential neighborhoods shall be protected from intrusion by uses that would disrupt or degrade the health, safety, tranquility, character, and overall welfare of the neighborhood by creating such impacts as excessive density, noise, light, glare, odor, vibration, dust or traffic.

**LAND USE OBJECTIVE 5:** Upon the adoption of this plan, all public and private activities regarding the use, development and redevelopment of land and the provision of urban services and infrastructure shall be consistent with the goal, objectives, and policies of this Element, with the adopted Population Estimates and Projections, and with the future uses provided by the adopted Land Use Plan (LUP) map and accompanying text titled "Interpretation of the Land Use

Plan Map" as balanced with the Goals, Objectives and Policies of all Elements of the Comprehensive Plan.

LAND USE OBJECTIVE 8: Miami-Dade County shall maintain a process for periodic amendment to the Land Use Plan map, consistent with the adopted Goals, Objectives and Policies of this Plan, which will provide that the Land Use Plan map accommodates countywide growth.

LAND USE POLICY 8A: Miami-Dade County shall strive to accommodate residential development in suitable locations and densities which reflect such factors as recent trends in location and design of residential units; proximity and accessibility to employment, commercial and cultural centers; character of existing and adjacent or surrounding neighborhoods; avoidance of natural resource degradation; maintenance of quality of life and creation of amenities. Density patterns should reflect the Guidelines for Urban Form contained in this Element.

LAND USE POLICY 8F: Applications requesting amendments to the CDMP Land Use Plan map shall be evaluated to consider consistency with the Goals, Objectives and Policies of all Elements, other timely issues, and in particular the extent to which the proposal, if approved, would: i) satisfy a deficiency in the Plan map to accommodate projected population or economic growth of the County.

LAND USE POLICY 9L: Miami-Dade County shall update and enhance its land development regulations and area planning program to facilitate development of better planned neighborhoods and communities, and well designed buildings, and shall encourage and assist municipalities to do the same.

## 5. ADDITIONAL MATERIAL SUBMITTED

Additional information will be supplied at a later date under separate cover.

## 6. COMPLETED DISCLOSURE FORMS

Attachments: Legal Description of Property owned by Applicant - Exhibit "A"  
Disclosure of Interest Form - Exhibit "B"  
Location Map for Application - Exhibit "C"  
Aerial Photograph – Exhibit "D"

Exhibit "A"

LEGAL DESCRIPTION:

THE N  $\frac{1}{2}$  OF THE NW  $\frac{1}{4}$  OF THE NE  $\frac{1}{4}$  OF SECTION  
26-57-38, MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA.

**EXHIBIT "C"**


**LOCATION MAP FOR APPLICATION TO  
AMEND THE COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT MASTER PLAN**

**APPLICANT / REPRESENTATIVE**

Q2 Kings Mountain 485, LLC / Juan J. Mayol, Jr., Esq.

**DESCRIPTION OF SUBJECT AREA**

The subject property consists of approximately ±20 gross acres of land located in Section 26, Township 57, Range 38, in unincorporated Miami-Dade County. More specifically, the subject property is located south of SW 344<sup>th</sup> Street between SW 188<sup>th</sup> Avenue and SW 192<sup>nd</sup> Avenue.



Tracy Slavens  
305 789 7642  
[tracy.slavens@hklaw.com](mailto:tracy.slavens@hklaw.com)

August 24, 2006

**VIA HAND DELIVERY**

Mr. Frank McCune  
Senior Planner, Metropolitan Planning Section  
Miami-Dade County Dept. of Planning and Zoning  
111 NW 1<sup>st</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Miami, FL 33128

Re: **Q2 Kings Mountain 485, LLC**  
**CDMP April 2006 Cycle - Application No. 15**


Dear Frank:

As you may be aware, Q2 Kings Mountain 485, LLC (the "Applicant") has sold a portion of the property that is the subject of the above referenced application. On August 3, 2000, the Applicant sold that +/- 20 acre property identified by Folio No.30-7826-000-0010, to Palm & Tower Investors, LLC (the "Owner"). The Disclosure of Interest forms for the Owner were submitted to your office on August 22, 2006.

At the time of the sale, the Applicant advised the Owner of the pending CDMP April 2006 Cycle Application to Amend the Land Use Plan Map designation on the subject property. Despite the sale, the Applicant wishes to remain the applicant entity on CDMP Application No. 15.

Thank you for your considerate attention to this matter. As always, if you have any questions or concerns do not hesitate to contact me.

Very truly yours,



Tracy R. Slavens, Esq.,

TS/ap

# 4005253\_v1



# **APPENDIX C**

## **Miami-Dade County Public Schools Analysis**

April 2006 Cycle

Application No. 15



# Miami-Dade County Public Schools

giving our students the world

**Superintendent of Schools**

Rudolph F. Crew, Ed.D.

**Chief Facilities Officer**

Rose Diamond

**Planning Officer**

Ana Rijo-Conde, AICP

**Miami-Dade County School Board**

Agustin J. Barrera, Chair

Perla Tabares Hantman, Vice Chair

Frank J. Bolaños

Evelyn Langlieb Greer

Dr. Robert B. Ingram

Dr. Martin Karp

Ana Rivas Logan

Dr. Marta Pérez

Dr. Solomon C. Stinson

July 10, 2006

Ms. Diane O'Quinn-Williams, Director  
Miami-Dade County  
Department of Planning and Zoning  
Zoning Evaluation Section  
111 NW 1 Street, Suite 1110  
Miami, Florida 33128

**Re: Land Use Amendments  
April 2006 Cycle  
(Applications No. 1-16)**

Dear Ms. O'Quinn-Williams:

Pursuant to the state-mandated and School Board approved Interlocal Agreement, local government, the development community and the School Board are to collaborate on the options to address the impact of proposed residential development on public schools where the proposed development would result in an increase in the schools' FISH % utilization (permanent and relocatable), in excess of 115%. This figure is to be considered only as a review threshold and shall not be construed to obligate the governing agency to deny a development.

Attached please find the School District's (District) review analysis of potential impact generated by the above referenced applications. Please note that land use amendments 6, 10 and 16 will not generate additional student impact to the District; and the schools impacted by land use amendments 2 and 3 do not meet the review threshold. However, land use amendments proposed in applications 1, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14 and 15 will generate an additional student impact to the District (see attached analyses).

Please note that some of the impacted school facilities for Amendments 1, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14 and 15 meet the referenced review threshold. As such, it is our recommendation that dialogue between the District and the applicants take place as it relates specifically to public schools in the affected area that meet the review threshold. The District will keep the County apprised if such dialogue takes place with respective applicants.

Ms. Diane O'Quinn-Williams  
July 10, 2006  
Page Two

Also, attached is a list of approved Charter School Facilities which may provide relief on a countywide basis.


Additionally, pursuant to Miami-Dade County's Educational Facilities Impact Fee Ordinance, the proposed developments, if approved, will be required to pay educational facilities impact fees (impact fees) based on the following formula:

New residential unit square footage X .90 (Square Footage Fee) + \$600.00 (Base Fee) + 2% administrative fee = Educational Facilities Impact fee

In accordance with the Agreement, this letter and attached information should not be construed as commentary on the merits of the pending land use amendment applications. Rather it is an attempt to provide relevant information to the Planning Advisory Board, Community Councils and Miami-Dade County Board of County Commissioners on public schools that will likely serve the proposed developments and meet the referenced threshold.

As always, thank you for your consideration and continued partnership in our mutual goal to enhance the quality of life for the residents of our community.

Sincerely,



Ivan M. Rodriguez, R.A.  
Director II

IMR:ir  
L001  
Attachments

cc: Ms. Ana Rijo-Conde  
Mr. Fernando Albuerne  
Mr. Michael A. Levine  
Ms. Vivian Villaamil  
Ms. Patricia Good  
Ms. Helen Brown

## SCHOOL IMPACT REVIEW ANALYSIS

July 5, 2006

<b>APPLICATION:</b>	No. 15, Q2 Kings Mountain 485 LLC		
<b>REQUEST:</b>	Change Land Use from Low Density Residential (2.5 to 6 DU/acre) to Low-Medium Density Residential (6 to 13 DU/acre)		
<b>ACRES:</b>	$\pm$ 20.76 acres		
<b>LOCATION:</b>	Approximately south of SW 344 Street between SW 188 Avenue and SW 192 Avenue		
<b>MSA/ MULTIPLIER:</b>	7.5 / .60 Single-Family (SF) Detached and .55 Single-Family (SF) Attached	Proposed Land Use 269 SF Attached	Existing Land Use 124 SF Detached
<b>NUMBER OF UNITS:</b>	145 additional units	Proposed Land Use 269 SF Attached	Existing Land Use 124 SF Detached
<b>ESTIMATED STUDENT POPULATION:</b>	74	148 students	74 students
<b>ELEMENTARY:</b>	36		
<b>MIDDLE:</b>	16		
<b>SENIOR HIGH:</b>	22		

### SCHOOLS SERVING AREA OF APPLICATION

<b>ELEMENTARY:</b>	Florida City Elementary – 364 NW 6 Avenue
<b>MIDDLE:</b>	Homestead Middle – 650 NW 2 Avenue
<b>SENIOR:</b>	Homestead Senior High – 2351 SE 12 Avenue

All schools are located in Regional Center VI.

\*Based on Census 2000 information provided by Miami-Dade County Department of Planning and Zoning.

**The following population and facility capacity data are as reported by the Office of Information Technology, as of October 2005:**

	STUDENT POPULATION	FISH DESIGN CAPACITY PERMANENT	% UTILIZATION FISH DESIGN CAPACITY PERMANENT	NUMBER OF PORTABLE STUDENT STATIONS	% UTILIZATION FISH DESIGN CAPACITY PERMANENT AND RELCOATABLE	CUMULATIVE STUDENTS**
Florida City Elementary	757	716	106%	148	88%	1,006
	793 *		111%		92%	
Homestead Middle	1,206	848	142%	158	120%	1,370
	1,222 *		144%		121%	
Homestead Senior High	2,896	2,977	97%	95	94%	3,964
	2,918 *		98%		95%	

\*Student population increase as a result of the proposed development

\*\*Estimated number of students (cumulative) based on zoning/land use log (2001- present) and assuming all approved developments are built; also assumes none of the prior cumulative students are figured in current population.

Notes:

- 1) Figures above reflect the impact of the class size amendment.
- 2) Pursuant to the Interlocal Agreement, Homestead Middle School meets the review threshold.

#### **PLANNED RELIEF SCHOOLS IN THE AREA**

**(Information included in proposed 5-Year Capital Plan, 2005-2009, dated April 2005)**

##### **Projects in Planning, Design or Construction**

<u>School</u>	<u>Status</u>	<u>Projected Occupancy Date</u>
State School SS-1 (Redland / Homestead Middle school relief) (1,662 student stations)	Construction	2007 School Opening
State School TTT (Homestead Senior High School relief) (2,858 student stations)	Planning	2009 School Opening

##### **Proposed Relief Schools**

<u>School</u>	<u>Funding year</u>
N/A	

Estimated Permanent Elementary Seats (Current and Proposed in 5-Year Plan)	716
Estimated Permanent Middle Seats (Current and Proposed in 5-Year Plan)	2,510
Estimated Permanent Senior High Seats (Current and Proposed in 5-Year Plan)	5,835

Note: Some of the proposed schools will add relief to more than one school and new seats will be assigned based on projected need.

**OPERATING COSTS:** Accounting to Financial Affairs, the average cost for K-12 grade students amounts to \$6,549 per student. The total annual operating cost for additional students residing in this development, if approved, would total \$484,626.

**CAPITAL COSTS:** Based on the State's July 2006 student station cost factors\*, capital costs for the estimated additional students to be generated by the proposed development are:

ELEMENTARY	Does not meet review threshold
MIDDLE	16     x    19,528   =      312,448
SENIOR HIGH	Does not meet review threshold
<b>Total Potential Capital Cost</b>	<b>\$312,448</b>

\*Based on Information provided by the Florida Department of Education, Office of Educational Facilities Budgeting. Cost per student station does not include land cost.



## **APPENDIX D**

### **Applicant's Traffic Study**

April 2006 Cycle

Application No. 15

---

# **C D M P A M E N D M E N T A P P L I C A T I O N T R A N S P O R T A T I O N A N A L Y S I S**

---

**C D M P Amendment  
Application  
Numbers 13, 14, 15**

August 2006

Prepared for:  
Tagoror Investments, LLC  
Q2 Florida City I, LLC  
Q2 Kings Mountain 485, LLC

CATHY SWEETAPPLE & ASSOCIATES  
TRANSPORTATION AND MOBILITY PLANNING

---



CATHY SWEETAPPLE & ASSOCIATES  
TRANSPORTATION AND MOBILITY PLANNING

**Application Numbers 13, 14, 15  
CDMP Amendment Application Transportation Analysis**

**Introduction and Site Location**

Three separate land use plan amendment applications were submitted to Miami-Dade County for three separate parcels of land located west of Florida City within unincorporated Miami-Dade County, identified herein as Application Numbers 13, 14 and 15. Application Numbers 13 and 14 are located on the north side of SW 344 Street, to the west of SW 192 Avenue. Application Number 15 is located on the south side of SW 344 Street, to the east of SW 192 Avenue. The location of each of the three amendment sites are identified on **Figure 1**. The approved and proposed land use designations for each of the three applications, along with the corresponding approved and proposed dwelling units are summarized in **Table 1A** below.

**Table 1A – Summary Information for Applications 13, 14 and 15**

Application Number	Applicant	Property Acreage	Approved Land Use	Approved Density	Proposed Land Use	Proposed Density
13	Tagoror Investments, LLC	9.89 acres	Estate Density Residential	1 to 2.5 du/acre 24 du maximum	Low Medium Density Residential	6 to 13 du/acre 128 du maximum
14	Q2 Florida City I, LLC Q2 Florida City II, LLC Q2 Florida City III, LLC Q2 Florida City IV, LLC	119.7 acres	Estate Density Residential	1 to 2.5 du/acre 299 du maximum	Low Medium Density Residential	6 to 13 du/acre 1556 du max
15	Q2 Kings Mountain 485, LLC	20.76 acres	Low Density Residential	2.5 to 6 du/acre 124 du maximum	Low Medium Density Residential	6 to 13 du/acre 269 du maximum
			Total	447 du	Total	1,953 du

**Transportation Analysis and Study Area**

A Transportation Analysis has been prepared to examine the traffic impacts resulting from the proposed land use changes, comparing the transportation conditions with the amendments, to that which would have occurred under the current allowable land use designations for each of the amendment sites. The analysis examines the adequacy of the transportation infrastructure within the five year and ten year planning horizons, and specifically addresses traffic concurrency pursuant to the Miami-Dade County Concurrency Management System, and the Year 2015 transportation impacts upon the surrounding roadway network.

This Transportation Analysis examines the arterial and collector roadway network serving the amendment sites, extending from SW 296 Street on the north, SW 167 Avenue on the east, SW 352 Street on the south (inclusive of Card Sound Road and US-1) and SW 197 Avenue on the west.

**Allowable Development under the Existing and Proposed Land Use**

The allowable land development scenarios for Application Numbers 13, 14 and 15 are summarized in **Table 1A** above. The allowable land use collectively (among all three applications) permits 447 dwelling units, which generates 341 AM peak hour trips and 447 PM peak hour trips as outlined in **Table 1B** below, and as calculated using the trip generation rates and equations from ITE 7<sup>th</sup> Edition under Land Use Code 210 for single-family homes. Detailed trip generation calculations for the allowable land use for each application is provided in the attached **Tables 2A, 2B and 2C**.

The proposed land use designations collectively (among all three applications) permit a maximum of 1,953 multi-family residential dwelling units accommodating an attached townhome product. The maximum allowable dwelling units are based upon 13 dwelling units per acre for low medium density residential. Under this maximum scenario (which has been analyzed pursuant to state guidelines), the units (collectively) would generate 641 AM peak hour trips and 780 PM peak hour trips using the trip generation rates and equations from ITE 7<sup>th</sup> Edition under Land Use Code 230 for multi-family townhomes as outlined in **Table 1B** below.

Compared to the existing land use designations for the three amendment sites, the net new traffic impact with the proposed land use change would equate to 300 AM peak hour trips and 333 PM peak hour trips as outlined in **Table 1B** below. Detailed trip generation calculations are provided in the attached **Tables 2A, 2B and 2C** for Application Numbers 13, 14 and 15.

**Table 1B - Summary of the Trips from Allowable Development under the Existing and Proposed Land Use**

	Existing Land Use – 447 DU		Proposed Land Use – 1,953 DU		Net New – 1,506 DU	
Application Number	AM Peak Hour Trips	PM Peak Hour Trips	AM Peak Hour Trips	PM Peak Hour Trips	AM Peak Hour Trips	PM Peak Hour Trips
13	26	30	63	74	37	44
14	219	287	464	571	245	284
15	96	130	114	135	18	5
Total	341 AM Trips	447 PM Trips	641 AM Trips	780 PM Trips	300 AM Trips	333 PM Trips

#### Transportation Analysis for the Increase in Site Related Traffic

Based upon the trip generation material provided in **Table 1B** above, there will be an increase in site related traffic resulting from the proposed land use change for each of the three amendment sites. This increase in site related traffic has therefore been evaluated on three separate levels to ensure that adopted levels of service can be maintained with the impacts of the proposed amendment site.

- The site related traffic has been evaluated for traffic concurrency following the guidelines from Miami-Dade County, using updated year 2004 traffic counts for the surrounding County and State count stations, committed development information from the Miami-Dade County concurrency database and the peak hour period trips from the proposed amendment site.
- An existing conditions network analysis has been prepared for the study area roadways surrounding the amendment site using updated year 2004 or 2005 traffic counts for the surrounding County and State count stations.
- A Year 2015 network analysis has been prepared for the study area roadways surrounding the amendment site to reflect the long term planning horizon, incorporating the funded transportation improvements from adopted TIP 2007, and the Priority I and Priority II planned transportation improvements from the Year 2030 long range transportation plan.

**TABLE 2A - TAGOROR INVESTMENTS, LLC - APPLICATION NO. 13**  
**TRIP GENERATION FOR THE UNDERLYING ALLOWABLE LAND USE**  
**9.89 GROSS ACRES AT 2.5 DU/ACRE**

LAND USE	TIMEFRAME	UNITS	ITE LUC	ITE 7TH EDITION TRIP RATE OR FORMULA	TRIPS	%	IN TRIPS	%	OUT TRIPS
Single Family Detached	Daily	24	DU	210 $\ln(T) = 0.92 \ln(X) + 2.71$	280	50%	140	50%	140
Single Family Detached	AM Peak Hour	24	DU	210 $T = 0.70 (X) + 9.43$	26	25%	7	75%	19
Single Family Detached	FM Peak Hour	24	DU	210 $\ln(T) = 0.90 \ln(X) + 0.53$	30	63%	19	37%	11

**TRIP GENERATION FOR THE PROPOSED USE**  
**9.89 GROSS ACRES AT 13 DU/ACRE**

LAND USE	TIMEFRAME	UNITS	ITE LUC	ITE 7TH EDITION TRIP RATE OR FORMULA	TRIPS	%	IN TRIPS	%	OUT TRIPS
Single Family Attached	Daily	128	DU	230 $\ln(T) = 0.85 \ln(X) + 2.55$	792	50%	396	50%	396
Single Family Attached	AM Peak Hour	128	DU	230 $\ln(T) = 0.80 \ln(X) + 0.26$	63	17%	11	83%	52
Single Family Attached	FM Peak Hour	128	DU	230 $\ln(T) = 0.82 \ln(X) + 0.32$	74	67%	50	33%	24

**NET NEW TRIP GENERATION - PROPOSED LESS UNDERLYING ALLOWABLE**

LAND USE	TIMEFRAME	UNITS	ITE LUC	ITE 7TH EDITION TRIP RATE OR FORMULA	TRIPS	%	IN TRIPS	%	OUT TRIPS
Net New Units	Daily	104	DU	230 Trip Increase	512	50%	256	50%	256
Net New Units	AM Peak Hour	104	DU	230 Trip Increase	37	17%	4	83%	33
Net New Units	FM Peak Hour	104	DU	230 Trip Increase	44	67%	31	33%	13

**TABLE 2B - Q.2 Florida City I-IV, LLC - APPLICATION NO. 14**  
**TRIP GENERATION FOR THE UNDERLYING ALLOWABLE LAND USE**  
**119.7 GROSS ACRES AT 2.5 DU/ACRE**

LAND USE	TIMEFRAME	UNITS	ITE LUC	ITE 7TH EDITION TRIP RATE OR FORMULA	TRIPS	IN %	OUT %
Single Family Detached	Daily	299 DU	210	$\ln(T) = 0.92 \ln(X) + 2.71$	2,848	50%	1,424 50% 1,424
Single Family Detached	AM Peak Hour	299 DU	210	$T = 0.70 (X) + 9.43$	219	25%	55 75% 164
Single Family Detached	FM Peak Hour	299 DU	210	$\ln(T) = 0.90 \ln(X) + 0.53$	287	63%	181 37% 106

**TRIP GENERATION FOR THE PROPOSED USE  
119.7 GROSS ACRES AT 13 DU/ACRE**

LAND USE	TIMEFRAME	UNITS	ITE LUC	ITE 7TH EDITION TRIP RATE OR FORMULA	TRIPS	IN %	OUT %
Single Family Attached	Daily	1,556 DU	230	$\ln(T) = 0.85 \ln(X) + 2.55$	6,617	50%	3,309 50% 3,308
Single Family Attached	AM Peak Hour	1,556 DU	230	$\ln(T) = 0.80 \ln(X) + 0.26$	464	17%	79 83% 385
Single Family Attached	FM Peak Hour	1,556 DU	230	$\ln(T) = 0.82 \ln(X) + 0.32$	571	67%	383 33% 188

**NET NEW TRIP GENERATION - PROPOSED LESS UNDERLYING ALLOWABLE**

LAND USE	TIMEFRAME	UNITS	ITE LUC	ITE 7TH EDITION TRIP RATE OR FORMULA	TRIPS	IN %	OUT %
Net New Units	Daily	1,257 DU	230	Trip Increase	<b>3,769</b>	50%	<b>1,885</b> 50% <b>1,884</b>
Net New Units	AM Peak Hour	1,257 DU	230	Trip Increase	<b>245</b>	17%	<b>24</b> 83% <b>221</b>
Net New Units	FM Peak Hour	1,257 DU	230	Trip Increase	<b>284</b>	67%	<b>202</b> 33% <b>82</b>

**TABLE 2C - Q2 Kings Mountain 485,LLC - APPLICATION NO. 15  
TRIP GENERATION FOR THE UNDERLYING ALLOWABLE LAND USE  
20.76 GROSS ACRES AT 6 DU/ACRE**

LAND USE	TIMEFRAME	UNITS	ITE LUC	ITE 7TH EDITION TRIP RATE OR FORMULA		TRIPS %	IN TRIPS %	OUT TRIPS %
				T = 0.92 Ln (X) + 2.71	T = 0.70 (X) + 9.43			
Single Family Detached	Daily	124	DU	210	$\ln (T) = 0.92 \ln (X) + 2.71$	1,267	50%	634
Single Family Detached	AM Peak Hour	124	DU	210	$T = 0.70 (X) + 9.43$	96	25%	24
Single Family Detached	FM Peak Hour	124	DU	210	$\ln (T) = 0.90 \ln (X) + 0.53$	130	63%	82
							37%	48

**TRIP GENERATION FOR THE PROPOSED USE  
20.76 GROSS ACRES AT 13 DU/ACRE**

LAND USE	TIMEFRAME	UNITS	ITE LUC	ITE 7TH EDITION TRIP RATE OR FORMULA		TRIPS %	IN TRIPS %	OUT TRIPS %
				T = 0.85 Ln (X) + 2.55	T = 0.80 Ln (X) + 0.26			
Single Family Attached	Daily	269	DU	230	$\ln (T) = 0.85 \ln (X) + 2.55$	1,488	50%	744
Single Family Attached	AM Peak Hour	269	DU	230	$T = 0.80 \ln (X) + 0.26$	114	17%	19
Single Family Attached	FM Peak Hour	269	DU	230	$\ln (T) = 0.82 \ln (X) + 0.32$	135	67%	90
							33%	45

**NET NEW TRIP GENERATION - PROPOSED LESS UNDERLYING ALLOWABLE**

LAND USE	TIMEFRAME	UNITS	ITE LUC	ITE 7TH EDITION TRIP RATE OR FORMULA		TRIPS %	IN TRIPS %	OUT TRIPS %
				Trip Increase	Trip Increase			
Net New Units	Daily	145	DU	230	$221$	50%	<b>110</b>	50%
Net New Units	AM Peak Hour	145	DU	230	$18$	17%	<b>-5</b>	83%
Net New Units	FM Peak Hour	145	DU	230	$5$	67%	<b>8</b>	33%
							<b>-3</b>	

## Regional Roadway Network Serving the Amendment Site

The roadway network serving the amendment site is illustrated in the enclosed **Figure 1**. Primary access to Applications 13, 14 and 15 is provided off of SW 344 Street, extending approximately 1.5 miles west from US-1 to SW 192 Avenue. SW 344 Street is classified as a State Minor Arterial from US-1 to SW 192 Avenue, and as a County Collector extending to the west. SW 344 Street exists today as a 4 lane divided roadway from SW 137 Avenue (on the east) to SW 182 Avenue (on the west), narrowing to a 2 lane undivided roadway west of SW 182 Avenue. SW 192 Avenue is classified as a State Minor Arterial south of SW 344 Street, and a County Collector extending to the north. The supporting transportation infrastructure either already exists or is under development as outlined below.

- **On-Site Subdivision Roadways** - Improvements to section-line roadways (SW 344 Street) and half section-line roadways (SW 192 Avenue) adjacent to the amendment sites will be developed concurrent with site development (pursuant to County Code requirements).
- **Programmed (Funded) Off-Site Transportation Improvements** – Programmed improvements from the adopted TIP 2007 will result in improvements to off-site section-line roadways or to transit corridors in the study area as identified in **Table 3A** and in **Figure 2A**. These funded transportation improvements include several roadways in the immediate study area as highlighted below:
  - **SW 177 Avenue from US-1 to Lucy Street** - 4 lane divided roadway
  - **SW 328 Street from US-1 to SW 137 Avenue** – 4 lane divided roadway
  - **Card Sound Road from County line to US-1** – Improved 2 lane roadway
  - **US-1 from County Line to Card Sound Road** – Improved 2 lane roadway
  - **US-1 from Card Sound Road to HEFT** – Third NB lane as an auxiliary lane to the HEFT
  - **Busway Extension to Florida City by 2007** – Premium transit access to the Amendment Study Area
- **Planned (Priority I and II) Off-Site Transportation Improvements** – Planned transportation improvements from Priority I and II of the 2030 Long Range Transportation Plan have been established as the cost feasible transportation infrastructure that will be in place by the Year 2015. The Planned transportation improvements in the study area are identified in **Table 3B** and in **Figure 2B**, with the Priority I improvements illustrated again in **Figure 2E** and the Priority II improvements illustrated again in **Figure 2F**. These planned improvements include several roadways in the immediate study area as highlighted below:

### **Priority I:**

- **US-1 from County Line to Card Sound Road** – Improved 2 lane roadway, add wide shoulders [in TIP]
- **US-1 from Card Sound Road to HEFT** – Construct auxiliary lanes to the HEFT [in TIP]
- **SW 320 Street from SW 187 Avenue to US-1** – Widen to 3 lanes
- **SW 312 Street from SW 187 Avenue to SW 177 Avenue** – Widen to 5 lanes [in TIP for Engineering]
- **SW 312 Street from SW 152 Avenue to SW 137 Avenue** – Widen to 4 lanes [Completed]
- **SW 328 Street from US-1 to SW 152 Avenue** – Widen to 4 lanes [in TIP]
- **Busway Extension to Florida City by 2007** – Premium transit access to the Amendment Study Area [in TIP]

### **Priority II:**

- **SW 177 Avenue from US-1 to SW 296 Street** - Widen to 4 lanes [in TIP with modifications]

- **Transit Access** - The amendment sites are located within 1.5 miles of the Premium Transit Busway Corridor which is programmed for completion in 2007. This transit corridor provides a convenient and direct connection to the Miami-Dade County premium transit system providing extensive transit access system-wide and county-wide. The attached **Figure 2C** illustrates the Busway Corridor and the funded park and ride transit terminal located at SW 344 Street at the terminus of the Busway corridor. **Figure 2D** illustrates the Miami-Dade Transit Service making connections to the Busway Corridor and reaching out to the neighborhoods located to the east and west of the Busway.

**TABLE 3A**  
**CDMP AMENDMENT APPLICATION TRANSPORTATION ANALYSIS**  
**APPLICATION NUMBERS 13, 14, 15**  
**PROGRAMMED TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENTS (FY 2007-2011) MIAMI-DADE COUNTY MPO**

TIP 2007 No.	TIP 2007 Page No.	Location	Improvement	TIP 2007 Project Phase	TIP 2007 Project Costs	TIP 2007 Year Funded	Figure Ref. No.
DT2493561 2493561	Section A1 Page 2	<b>SR 5/US-1</b> From North of MM 113 to MM 117	Flexible Pavement Reconstruction 3.788 miles	Design Build/Construction Design Build Construction	\$41,624,000 \$2,000,000	Prior Funding 2009-2010	Not Mapped
DT2496143 2496143	Section A1 Page 5	<b>SR 997/Krome Avenue</b> From Kendall Drive to SW 8 Street	Add Through Lanes 4.999 miles	Preliminary Engineering Right-of-Way Railroad	\$1,450,000 \$16,323,000 \$100,000	2007-2008 2008-2009 2008	Not Mapped
DT2496144 2496144	Section A1 Page 5	<b>SR997/Krome Avenue</b> From SW 296 Street to SW 136 Street	PD&E/EMO Study	PD&E Preliminary Engineering	\$75,000 \$900,000	2007-2008 2010-2011	#1
DT2496145 2496145	Section A1 Page 5	<b>SR997/Krome Avenue</b> SW 288 St, SW 216 St, SW 200 St, SW 184 St	Add turn lanes and shoulders, extend left turn lane storage, add new signals, lighting	Prior Years Funding INC	\$6,263,000 \$200,000	2005/2006 2008	Not Mapped
DT2496146 2496146	Section A1 Page 97-TIP 2006	<b>SR997/Krome Avenue</b> At SR 93/Kendall Drive	Provide dual SB to EB Left turn lanes Add Exclusive WB Left	PD&E Construction	\$11,349 \$532,364	2006 2006	Not Mapped
DT2496152 2496152	Section A1 Page 6	<b>SR997/Krome Avenue</b> From SW 8 Street to US 27	Add Lanes and Reconstruct 14.275 Miles	Preliminary Engineering Design Build INC	\$450,000 \$81,415,000 \$2,000,000	2007-2009 2008-2009 2011	Not Mapped
DT2496154 2496154	Section A1 Page 6	<b>SR997/Krome Avenue</b> From SW 8 Street to US 27	Environmental Action 14.275 Miles	Environmental	\$9,074,411	2007	Not Mapped
DT2498561 2498561	Section A1 Page 10	<b>SR 5/US-1</b> From MM 4.167 S-18 to MM 8.750	Flexible Pavement Reconstruction 4.583 miles	Preliminary Engineering Construction	\$1,425,000 \$31,700,000	Prior Funding 2007-2008	Not Mapped
DT2498562 2498562	Section A1 Page 10	<b>SR 5/US-1</b> From S. of S-18 MP 8.7 to Card Sound Rd MP 13.87	Flexible Pavement Reconstruction 5.121 miles	Construction	\$58,414,000	2010-2011	#2
DT4055751 4055751	Section A1 Page 33	<b>SR997/Krome Avenue</b> From US-1 to SW 296 Street	Flexible Pavement Construction	Right-of-Way	\$5,902,000	2008	#3
DT4055753 4055753	Section A1 Page 34	<b>SR997/Krome Avenue</b> From US-1 to SW 328/Lucy Street	Add Lanes and Reconstruct	Construction	\$15,570,000	2010	#4
DT4106251 4106251	Section A1 Page 54	<b>SR 5/US-1/South Dixie Highway</b> From SW 304 Street to S. of SW 284 Street	Resurfacing 1.637 miles	Construction	\$17,545,000	2009-2011	#5
DT4106252 4106252	Section A1 Page 55	<b>SR 5/US-1/South Dixie Highway</b> From S. of SW 284 Street to N. of SW 266 Street	Resurfacing 1.623 miles	Construction	\$13,830,000	2010-2011	Not Mapped
DT4164731 4164731	Section A1 Page 80	<b>SR 5/US-1 Auxiliary</b> From Card Sound Road to SR 821 / HEFT	PD&E/EMO Study Construct Auxiliary lanes	Preliminary Engineering Construction	\$300,000 \$3,075,000	2006-2007 2008-2010	#6
DT4204571 4204571	Section A1 Page 148	<b>Busway at SW 344 Street</b> Park and Ride Lot	Park and Ride Lot	Capital	\$600,000	2006-2007	#7
DT4204581 4204581	Section A1 Page 149	<b>Busway at SW 344 Street</b> Park and Ride Lot	Park and Ride Lot	Capital	\$2,322,000	2006-2007	#7
DT4175441 4175441	Section A2 Page 3	<b>Homestead Toll Plaza</b> Express Lanes	Express Lanes	Construction	\$46,364,000	2008-2009	Not Mapped
TP4060961 4060961	Section A2 Page 1	<b>SR 821/HEFT</b> From South of SW 117 Ave to South of Kendall Dr	Add lanes and reconstruct. Widen HEFT from 10 to 12 lanes	Construction Railroad	\$190,551,000 \$3,000,000	2008 2008	Not Mapped
TP4150511 4150511	Section A2 Page 2	<b>SR 821/HEFT</b> From Kendall Drive to SR 836	Add lanes and reconstruct. Widen HEFT from 6 to 8 lanes	Preliminary Engineering	\$20,305,000	2007	Not Mapped
TP4154871 4154871	Section A2 Page 3	<b>SR 821/HEFT</b> From North of Eureka Dr to North of SW 117 Ave	Add lanes and reconstruct. Widen HEFT from 8 to 12 lanes	Preliminary Engineering	\$4,341,000	2008	Not Mapped
TP4154881 4154881	Section A2 Page 3	<b>SR 821/HEFT</b> From SW 216 St to North of Eureka Dr	Add lanes and reconstruct. Widen HEFT from 6 to 8 lanes and from 8 to 10 lanes	Preliminary Engineering	\$2,923,000	2008	Not Mapped
PW0000148 0000148	Section A5 Page 75	<b>SW 152 Avenue</b> From US-1 to SW 312 Street	Widen to 4 lanes	Preliminary Engineering Construction	\$500,000 \$11,800,000	2007-2008 2007-2010	Not Mapped
PW671605 671605	Section A5 Page 78	<b>SW 328 Street</b> From US-1 to SW 162 Avenue	Widen to 4 lanes	Preliminary Engineering Construction	\$370,000 \$6,630,000	Prior Funding Prior Funding	#8
PW671617 671617	Section A5 Page 79	<b>SW 328 Street</b> From SW 162 Avenue to SW 152 Avenue	Widen to 4 lanes	Construction	\$6,000,000	Prior Funding	#9
PW000515 000515	Section A5 Page 79	<b>SW 328 Street</b> From 152 Avenue to SW 137 Avenue	Widen to 4 lanes	Preliminary Engineering Construction	\$500,000 \$7,000,000	Prior Funding Prior Funding	Not Mapped
PW20050032 20050032	Section A7 Page 80	<b>Card Sound Road</b> From Monroe/Miami-Dade County Line to US-1	Improve existing 2 lanes Add wide shoulders	Construction	\$4,000,000	Underway	#10
PW20040343 20040343	Section A7 Page 14	<b>SW 137 Avenue</b> From US-1 to SW 200 Street	Two continuous lanes	Preliminary Engineering Construction	\$1,790,000 \$10,000,000	2007-2008 2009-2010	Not Mapped
PW20040344 20040344	Section A7 Page 15	<b>SW 137 Avenue</b> From HEFT to US-1	Widen from 2 lanes to 4 lanes	Preliminary Engineering Construction	\$745,000 \$8,125,000	2007-2008 2008-2010	Not Mapped
PW20040342 20040342	Section A7 Page 15	<b>SW 312 Street</b> From SW 187 Avenue to SW 177 Avenue	Widen to 5 lanes	Preliminary Engineering	\$443,000	2009-2010	#11
TA0000006 0000006	Section A11 Page 2 - TIP 2006	<b>South Dade Metrorail Extension</b> From Dadeland South Metro-rail Station to SW 220 St	Feasibility Study for 21 mile Metro-rail Extension	Planning	\$1,500,000	2007-2009	#12
TA0000037 0000037	Section A11 Page 2 - TIP 2006	<b>South Dade Busway</b> Extend the Busway Corridor to Florida City	Busway Extension	Construction Underway	\$85,480,000	Prior Funding	#13

Source: TIP 2007 - FY 2007-2011 Transportation Improvement Program, Metropolitan Planning Organization for the Miami Urbanized Area, adopted May 25, 2006.

## **Project Trip Assignment**

The project traffic assignment to the surrounding study area roadways has been established pursuant to the Miami-Dade County Cardinal Distribution for Project Zone 1442 for Applications 13 and 14 and Project Zone 1436 for Application 15 (see **Figure 3A**), using the adjacent street roadway network and the land use characteristics in the vicinity of the project site. The cardinal distributions for both project zones have been obtained from the 2005 Cost Feasible Plan from the 2030 Long Range Transportation Plan. The attached **Figure 3B** identifies the cardinal distribution for Zone 1436, and the attached **Figure 3C** identifies the corresponding traffic assignment based upon the cardinal directions for Application 15. The attached **Figure 3D** identifies the cardinal distribution for Zone 1442, and the attached **Figure 3E** identifies the corresponding traffic assignment based upon the cardinal directions for Applications 13 and 14. The assignment and distribution of project traffic on the adjacent roadway network reflects the general project distribution characteristics identified below, based upon the Miami-Dade County cardinal distributions which are presented in **Figure 4A** for Applications 13 and 14 and **Figure 4B** for Application 15.

### **Applications 13 and 14:**

• To and from the north northwest using SW 192 Ave and SW 304 and 296 Streets	2.20%
• To and from the north northeast using SW 192 and 187 Ave and SW 296, 304 and 312 Streets	18.60%
• To and from the east northeast using US-1 (14.04%), SW 328 St (4%) and the HEFT (27.07%)	45.11%
• To and from the east southeast using SW 344 Street (14%) and Card Sound Road (5.5%)	19.50%
• To and from the south southeast using US-1	9.62%
• <u>To and from the southwest using SW 192 Avenue</u>	4.97%
Total:	100.00%


### **Applications 15:**

• To and from the west northwest and north northwest using SW 192 Ave	8.07%
• To and from the north northeast using US-1 (26%), SW 296 and 312 Streets (12.49%)	38.49%
• To and from the east northeast using SW 344 St (10.69%), HEFT (21%) and SW 328 St (2%)	33.69%
• To and from the south southeast and east southeast using US-1 (9.62%) and Card Sound Rd (4.29%)	13.91%
• <u>To and from the west southwest and south southwest using SW 192 Avenue</u>	5.84%
Total:	100.00%

### CARDINAL DISTRIBUTION

PROJECT: Application No. 15

TAZ #	1436	PM Trips	135
Trips	135	PM Trips	135
NNE	55.49%	75	
ENE	16.69%	23	
ESE	0.19%	0	
SSE	13.72%	19	
SSW	0.77%	1	
WSW	5.07%	7	
WNW	1.71%	2	
NNW	6.36%	9	
	100.00%	135	



Source: *Miami-Dade Transportation Plan to the Year 2030 - Directional Trip Distribution Report*, January 2005, Miami-Dade Interim 2005 Cost Feasible Plan.


Figure 3C  
Cardinal Distribution for TAZ 1436  
CDMP Amendment Application Numbers 13, 14, 15  
July 2006

### CARDINAL DISTRIBUTION

PROJECT: Application No. 13

TAZ #	1442	
Trips	74	PM Trips
NNE	18.62%	14
ENE	45.11%	33
ESE	19.50%	14
SSE	9.62%	7
SSW	4.97%	4
WSW	0.00%	0
WNW	0.00%	0
NNW	2.17%	2
	99.99%	74

### TRIP DISTRIBUTION




### CARDINAL DISTRIBUTION

PROJECT: Application No. 14

TAZ #	1442	
Trips	571	PM Trips
NNE	18.62%	106
ENE	45.11%	258
ESE	19.50%	111
SSE	9.62%	55
SSW	4.97%	28
WSW	0.00%	0
WNW	0.00%	0
NNW	2.17%	12
	99.99%	571

### TRIP DISTRIBUTION



Source: *Miami-Dade Transportation Plan to the Year 2030 - Directional Trip Distribution Report*, January 2005,  
Miami-Dade Interim 2005 Cost Feasible Plan.

Figure 3E  
Cardinal Distribution for TAZ 1442  
CDMP Amendment Application Numbers 13 ,14, 15  
July 2006

### **Traffic Concurrency - Existing, Committed and Total Traffic Conditions along the Study Area Roadways**

A traffic concurrency analysis has been prepared to examine the concurrency status of the surrounding roadways consistent with the Miami-Dade County traffic concurrency criteria and guidelines. Pursuant to the analysis performed herein using updated count data and supporting information from the Miami-Dade County Public Works Department, adequate capacity has been found to exist at the first directly accessed traffic count stations located adjacent to the project site. Eight (8) traffic count stations have been evaluated pursuant to the cardinal distributions for TAZ 1442 (for Applications 13 and 14) and TAZ 1436 (for Application 15), as presented in **Figure 5**. Each traffic count station has been determined to maintain adequate available capacity to accommodate the impacts of each of the proposed amendment sites individually (see **Tables 4A, 4B and 4C**) and cumulatively (see **Table 4D**). The addition of the 780 PM peak hour trips resulting from the 1,953 cumulative total dwelling units does not exceed the available roadway capacity assigned to each traffic count station consistent with the Miami-Dade County CDMP.

The traffic concurrency analysis prepared for each of the amendment sites reflects the information listed below.

- Updated year 2004 traffic counts have been obtained for each of the identified count stations. The 2004 state data is included in the enclosed **Attachment I**.
- The attached **Tables 4A, 4B, 4C and 4D** identify the updated Year 2004 peak season, peak hour period traffic data for each of the eight first directly accessed traffic count stations, and the unbuilt and approved development order trips for each count station pursuant to the 6-22-06 Miami-Dade County traffic concurrency database.
- The assignment of 100% of the proposed amendment trips is based upon the Miami-Dade County Cardinal Distribution for Project Zones 1442 (for Applications 13 and 14) and Project Zone 1436 (for Application 15) from the 2005 Cost Feasible Plan.
- The attached **Figure 5** identifies the location of each of the first directly accessed traffic count stations surrounding the amendment sites.
- Adopted level of service standards and the maximum service volumes used for each count station are provided by Miami-Dade County pursuant to the latest traffic concurrency database.
- The PM peak hour project trips resulting from the proposed land use designations are incorporated into the concurrency analysis assuming the impact is completely new, without gaining credit for the underlying approved land use.
  - Application 13 generates 74 PM peak hour trips for 128 dwelling units – see **Table 4A**
  - Application 14 generates 571 PM peak hour trips for 1,556 dwelling units – see **Table 4B**
  - Application 15 generates 135 PM peak hour trips for 269 dwelling units – see **Table 4C**
  - Applications 13, 14 and 15 generate 780 PM peak hour trips for 1,953 dwelling units – see **Table 4D**

The determination of available capacity and level of service for each of the first directly accessed traffic count stations is made after incorporating project traffic from the proposed amendment site. Pursuant to the Miami-Dade County Concurrency Management System, all study area traffic count stations on roadways adjacent to the project site were found to operate at acceptable levels of service during the PM peak hour, accounting for existing traffic, previously approved committed development traffic, plus the project traffic for each of the proposed amendment sites – individually and cumulatively. Available capacity and acceptable levels of service are maintained for the adjacent count stations and the study area roadway segments, meeting the traffic concurrency standards from the Miami-Dade County Comprehensive Development Master Plan.

**TABLE 4A**  
**CDMP AMENDMENT APPLICATION NUMBERS 13, 14, 15**  
**TRAFFIC CONCURRENCY ANALYSIS - NUMBER 13**

STATION NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	[1]		[2]		Count Date	Capacity Available after PHP Volume 6/22/2006	Capacity Available after D.O.'s as of 6/22/2006	TAZ 1442 Cardinal Distribution	Cardinal Direction	Number 13 PM Peak Hour Project Trips 74	Total with Project	Capacity Available after Project	Max Adopted LOS	Current LOS	Meets Adopted Standard Yes/No	
		Existing Laneage	Two Way Peak Hour Capacity	2004 PHP Volume	2004 PHP Volume												
0084	First Directly Accessed Count Stations SW 344 Street east of SW 187 Avenue	A 2	1,640	859	Sept. 2004	781	341	440	56.19%	ENE-ESE-SSE	42	1,242	398	D	C	Yes	
9954	SW 338 Street East of US-1 to SW 167 Avenue	2 [5]	1,240	544	Apr. 2004	696	50	646	4.00%	ENE	3	597	643	EE	C	Yes	
0544	South Dixie Highway/North of Lucy Street	A 4	4,100	2,169	Jan. 2004	1,931	77	1,854	14.04%	ENE	10	2,256	1,844	EE	B	Yes	
9948	SW 312 Street west of US-1 to SW 177 Avenue	A 4	4,580	1,899	Apr. 2004	2,681	68	2,613	10.40%	NNE	8	1,975	2,605	D	B	Yes	
9942	SW 304 Street west of US-1 to SW 177 Avenue	2	1,850	1,116	Apr. 2004	734	189	545	3.20%	NNE	2	1,307	543	D	B	Yes	
9936	SW 296 Street west of US-1 to SW 177 Avenue	2	2,040	634	Apr. 2004	1,406	148	1,288	5.00%	NNE	4	786	1,254	D	B	Yes	
9938	SW 296 Street east of SW 197 Avenue	2	680	157	Sept. 2004	523	189	334	2.20%	NNW	2	348	332	D	B	Yes	
0151	Ingram Highway east of Everglades National Park to SW 192 Avenue	2	1,530	252	Jan. 2004	1,278	2	1,276	4.97%	SSW	4	258	1,272	D	A	Yes	
												74					

**Notes:**

- [1] Maximum service volumes are obtained from the Miami-Dade County Concurrency Database dated June 22, 2006 unless otherwise noted.
  - [2] Source for the 2004 Traffic Counts: Miami-Dade County Public Works Department and FDOT.
  - [3] Source for the Approved O.D.s: Miami-Dade County Public Works Department Concurrency Database dated June 22, 2006
  - [4] EE = 120% of LOS E, Extraordinary Transit between Infill Area and the UDB.
  - [5] Funded for construction of 4 lanes in TIP 2007 under Project Number PW671605.

Station	Day 1	Day 2	Day 3	Average PHP
00844	846	857	874	859
05444	2235	2144	2129	2169
01511	195	175	386	252

Counts reflect the average of the two consecutive highest peak hours.

**TABLE 4B**  
**CDMP AMENDMENT APPLICATION NUMBERS 13, 14, 15**  
**TRAFFIC CONCURRENCY ANALYSIS - NUMBER 14**

STATION NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	[1]	[2]	Capacity Available after PHP Volume	Capacity Available D.O.'s as of 6/22/2006	Capacity Available after PHP Volume	TAZ 1442 Cardinal Distribution	Cardinal Direction	Number T 14 PM Peak Hour Project Trips 571	Total with Project	Capacity Available after Project	Max Adopted LOS	Current LOS	Meets Adopted Standard Yes/No		
		Existing Laneage	Two Way Peak Hour Capacity													
<b>First Directly Accessed Count Stations</b>																
0084	SW 344 Street east of SW 187 Avenue	A 2	1,640	859	Sept. 2004	781	341	440	56.19%	ENE+ESE, SSE	321	1,521	D	D	Yes	
9954	SW 328 Street East of US-1 to SW 167 Avenue	2 [5]	1,240	544	Apr. 2004	696	50	646	4.00%	ENE	23	617	EE	C	Yes	
0544	South Dixie Highway North of Lucy Street	A 4	4,100	2,169	Jan. 2004	1,931	77	1,854	14.04%	ENE	80	2,326	EE	B	Yes	
9948	SW 312 Street west of US-1 to SW 177 Avenue	A 4	4,580	1,899	Apr. 2004	2,681	68	2,613	10.40%	NNW	59	2,026	D	B	Yes	
9942	SW 304 Street west of US-1 to SW 177 Avenue	2	1,850	1,116	Apr. 2004	734	189	545	3.20%	NNW	18	1,323	D	B	Yes	
9936	SW 296 Street west of US-1 to SW 177 Avenue	2	2,040	634	Apr. 2004	1,406	148	1,258	5.00%	NNW	29	811	D	B	Yes	
9938	SW 296 Street east of SW 197 Avenue	2	680	157	Sept. 2004	523	189	334	2.20%	NNW	13	359	D	B	Yes	
0151	Ingram Highway east of Everglades National Park to SW 192 Avenue	2	1,530	252	Jan. 2004	1,278	2	1,276	4.97%	SSW	28	282	1,248	D	A	Yes
									100.00%		571					

**Notes:**

- [1] Maximum service volumes are obtained from the Miami-Dade County Concurrency Database dated June 22, 2006 unless otherwise noted
- [2] Source for the 2004 Traffic Counts: Miami-Dade County Public Works Department and FDOT.
- [3] Source for the Approved D.O.'s: Miami-Dade County Public Works Department Concurrency Database dated June 22, 2006.
- [4] EE = 120% of LOS E, Extraordinary Transit between Infill Area and the UDB.
- [5] Funded for construction to 4 lanes in TIP 2007 under Project Number PW671605.

**TABLE 4C**  
**CDMP AMENDMENT APPLICATION NUMBERS 13, 14, 15**  
**TRAFFIC CONCURRENCY ANALYSIS - NUMBER 15**

STATION NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	[1]	[2]	Capacity Available after PHP Volume	Capacity Available D.O.'s as of 6/22/2006	Capacity Available after PHP Volume	TAZ 1436 Cardinal Distribution	Cardinal Direction	Number T15 PM Peak Hour Project Trips 135	Total with Project	Capacity Available after Project	[4] Max Adopted LOS	Current LOS	Meets Adopted Standard Yes/No
		Existing Laneage	2004 PHP Volume											
<b>First Directly Accessed Count Stations</b>														
0084	SW 344 Street, east of SW 87 Avenue to US-1	A 2	1,640	859	Sept. 2004	781	341	440	45.60%	ENE+ESE+SSE	62	1,262	378	C Yes
9954	SW 328 Street East of US-1 to SW 167 Avenue	2 [5]	1,240	544	Apr. 2004	696	50	646	2.00%	NNE	3	597	643	EE C Yes
0544	South Dixie Highway North of Lucy Street	A 4	4,100	2,169	Jan. 2004	1,931	77	1,854	26.00%	NNE	35	2,281	1,819	EE B Yes
9948	SW 312 Street west of US-1 to SW 177 Avenue	A 4	4,580	1,899	Apr. 2004	2,681	68	2,613	8.49%	NNE	11	1,978	2,602	D B Yes
9942	SW 304 Street west of US-1 to SW 177 Avenue	2	1,850	1,116	Apr. 2004	734	189	545	3.00%	NNE	4	1,309	541	D B Yes
9936	SW 296 Street west of US-1 to SW 177 Avenue	2	2,040	634	Apr. 2004	1,406	148	1,258	7.00%	NNE	9	791	1,249	D B Yes
9938	SW 296 Street east of SW 197 Avenue	2	680	157	Sept. 2004	523	189	334	2.07%	WNW+NNW	3	349	331	D B Yes
0151	Ingram Highway east of Everglades National Park to SW 192 Avenue	2	1,530	252	Jan. 2004	1,278	2	1,276	5.84%	WSW+SSW	8	262	1,268	D A Yes
									100.00%		135			

**Notes:**

- [1] Maximum service volumes are obtained from the Miami-Dade County Concurrency Database dated June 22, 2006 unless otherwise noted
- [2] Source for the 2004 Traffic Counts: Miami-Dade County Public Works Department and FDOT.
- [3] Source for the Approved D.O.'s: Miami-Dade County Public Works Department Concurrency Database dated June 22, 2006.
- [4] EE = 120% of LOS E. Extraordinary Transit between Infill Area and the UDB.
- [5] Funded for construction to 4 lanes in TIP 2007 under Project Number PW671605.

**TABLE 4D**  
**CDMP AMENDMENT APPLICATION NUMBERS 13, 14, 15**  
**TRAFFIC CONCURRENCY ANALYSIS - TOTAL IMPACT FROM 13, 14, 15**

STATION NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	[1] Existing Two Way Peak Hour Capacity	[2] 2004 PHP Volume	Count Date	Capacity Available after PHP Volume	[3] D.O.'s as of 6/22/2006	Capacity Available after D.O.'s	Number 13 PM Peak Hour Project Trips 74	Number 14 PM Peak Hour Project Trips 571	Number 15 PM Peak Hour Project Trips 135	Numbers 13, 14, 15 Total PM Peak Hour Project Trips	Capacity Available after Project	[4] Max Adopted LOS	Current LOS	Meets Adopted Standard Yes/No	
		Avg. Laneage														
<b>First Directly Accessed Count Stations</b>																
0084	SW 344 Street, east of SW 187 Avenue to US 1	A 2	1,640	859	Sep. 2004	781	341	440	42	321	62	424	1,624	16	D	D
9954	SW 328 Street East of US 1 to SW 167 Avenue	2 [5]	1,240	544	Apr. 2004	696	50	646	3	23	3	29	623	618	EE	C
0544	South Dixie Highway North of Lucy Street	A 4	4,100	2,169	Jan. 2004	1,931	77	1,854	10	80	35	126	2,372	1,728	EE	B
9948	SW 312 Street west of US 1 to SW 177 Avenue	A 4	4,580	1,899	Apr. 2004	2,681	68	2,613	8	59	11	79	2,046	2,534	D	B
9942	SW 304 Street west of US 1 to SW 177 Avenue	2	1,850	1,116	Apr. 2004	734	189	545	2	18	4	25	1,330	520	D	B
9936	SW 296 Street west of US 1 to SW 177 Avenue	2	2,040	634	Apr. 2004	1,406	148	1,258	4	29	9	42	824	1,216	D	B
9938	SW 296 Street east of SW 197 Avenue	2	680	157	Sept. 2004	523	189	334	2	13	3	17	363	317	D	B
0151	Ingram Highway east of Everglades National Park to SW 192 Avenue	2	1,530	252	Jan. 2004	1,278	2	1,276	4	28	8	40	294	1,236	D	A
									74	571	135	780				

**Notes:**

- [1] Maximum service volumes are obtained from the Miami-Dade County Concurrency Database dated June 22, 2006 unless otherwise noted.
- [2] Source for the 2004 Traffic Counts: Miami-Dade County Public Works Department and FDOT.
- [3] Source for the Approved D.O.'s: Miami-Dade County Public Works Department Concurrency Database dated June 22, 2006.
- [4] EE = 120% of LOS E, Extraordinary Transit between Infill Area and the UDB.
- [5] Funded for construction to 4 lanes in TIP 2007 under Project Number PW671605.

## **Existing, Future Background and Total Traffic Conditions for the Year 2015 Ten Year Planning Period**

**Tables 5A, 5B, 5C, 5D, 5E and 5F** have been provided to evaluate the adequacy of the roadway network to meet the adopted LOS standards through the ten year planning period. A year 2015 roadway network analysis has been provided, examining the traffic impact from each of the three amendment sites individually and cumulatively. The information contained within the Ten Year Planning Horizon Traffic Analysis is outlined below.

### **Part 1 - Existing Traffic Conditions**

**Table 5A** provides the analysis of existing traffic conditions for the amendment study area and includes the following:

- The existing lane geometry for the roadways in the amendment study area;
- The functional classification for each of the roadways in the amendment study area;
- The municipal jurisdiction for each of the roadways in the amendment study area, primarily because the adjacent municipalities (Homestead and Florida City) specify adopted level of service standards in their respective comprehensive plans which differ from the underlying adopted level of service from the Miami-Dade County CDMP;
- The existing two-way PM peak hour traffic using current 2004 or 2005 traffic counts adjusted for peak season; and
- The existing level of service and the existing volume to capacity ratio.

Adopted level of service standards were found to be met under existing traffic conditions within the amendment study area.

### **Part 2 - Year 2015 Traffic Conditions without the Amendment Sites**

**Table 5B** provides the analysis of future background Year 2015 traffic conditions for the amendment study area and includes the following:

- The existing two-way PM peak hour, peak season traffic;
- The historical growth rate for the arterial and collector roadways in the study area - grown to year 2015 using a rate of 1.01% per year (see the historical growth rate calculations in **Table 6A**);
- The historical growth rate for Florida's Turnpike - grown to year 2015 using a rate of 2.52% per year (see the historical growth rate calculations in **Table 6B**);
- The historical growth rates reflect half of the calculated rate based upon the separate layering of unbuilt committed development traffic into the future background traffic analysis;
- The assignment of unbuilt committed development traffic onto the roadway segments in the study area, based upon the location of approved and unbuilt projects; and
- The Year 2015 future background level of service (without the amendment sites) and the Year 2015 future background volume to capacity ratio.

Adopted level of service standards were found to be met under Year 2015 future background traffic conditions (without the amendment sites) within the amendment study area, for all roadway segments except for the 2 lane section of SW 177 Avenue from SW 328 Street to SW 312 Street, where the City of Homestead has chosen to keep a 2 lane divided cross section after improvements, rather than expand to a four lane section.

### **Part 3 - Year 2015 Traffic Conditions with the Amendment Sites**

**Tables 5C, 5D, 5E and 5F** provide the Year 2015 ten year planning horizon traffic analyses under total traffic conditions with each of the proposed amendment sites (individually and cumulatively). Each table includes the following:

- The two-way PM peak hour future background traffic for the Year 2015;
- The Year 2015 level of service without the amendment sites;
- The assignment of the total amendment traffic to the surrounding study area roadways:
  - **Table 5C** – Application 13
  - **Table 5D** – Application 14
  - **Table 5E** – Application 15
  - **Table 5F** – Applications 13, 14 and 15 cumulatively
- The Year 2015 PM peak hour total traffic with the amendment site;
- The Year 2015 total traffic level of service analysis with the amendment site and the Year 2015 total traffic volume to capacity ratio.

Adopted level of service standards were found to be met under Year 2015 total traffic conditions with the amendment sites for all roadway segments except for the following:

- Under all Applications individually and cumulatively, the 2 lane section of SW 177 Avenue from SW 328 Street to SW 312 Street is not operating at acceptable levels of service, where the City of Homestead has chosen to keep a 2 lane divided cross section after improvements, rather than expand to a four lane section per Priority II of the LRTP. Each of the individual Applications 13, 14 and 15 have a traffic assignment to SW 177 Avenue which is less than 1% of the capacity of the roadway, thus falling below the significant thresholds as defined by FDOT in reference to new SB 360.
- The Year 2015 link analysis for Application 14 and the cumulative analysis for all three applications demonstrate the need to widen SW 344 Street from 2 to 4 lanes, from SW 192 Avenue to SW 182 Avenue. The current 4 lane section ends at SW 182 Avenue. A portion of the four lane section would be a subdivision improvement obligation for Application 15, however each of the three applications would benefit from an expansion of SW 344 Street to 4 lanes from SW 182 Avenue to SW 187 Avenue.

**TABLE 5A**  
**CDMP AMENDMENT APPLICATIONS 13, 14, 15**  
**EXISTING TWO-WAY PM PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC CONDITIONS**

ROADWAY SEGMENTS	EXISTING LANES	ROADWAY FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION	MUNICIPAL JURISDICTION	COUNT SOURCE	COUNT DATE	FOOT PSFC	FOOT AXLE	ADOPTED LOS	TWO-WAY PEAK HOUR CAPACITY		EXISTING TWO-WAY PM PEAK HR VOLUMES		EXISTING TWO-WAY PM PEAK HR VOLUMES		AVERAGE TWO-WAY PM PEAK HR VOLUMES		EXISTING TWO-WAY PM PEAK HR PK SEASON		EXISTING LOS	
									[2]	[3]	[4]	Day 1 PM PEAK HR	Day 2 PM PEAK HR	Day 3 PM PEAK HR	Average	512 2,046 2,226	C B B	0.30 0.60 0.66		
<b>SW 312 STREET</b>	2LD 4LD 4LD	County Minor Arterial County Minor Arterial County Minor Arterial	Homestead Homestead Homestead	Traffic Study MD-9948 Traffic Study	3/23/2004 4/13/2004 3/23/2004	1.00 1.01 1.00	1.00 1.00 1.00	E E E	1,690 3,390 3,390	512 2,026 2,226	Day 1 PM PEAK HR	Day 2 PM PEAK HR	Day 3 PM PEAK HR	Average	512 2,046 2,226	C B B	0.30 0.60 0.66			
<b>SW 320 STREET</b>	2LU 2LU	Collector Collector	Homestead Homestead	Traffic Study Traffic Study	3/23/2004 3/23/2004	1.00 1.00	1.00 1.00	E E	1,480 1,480	527 527	Day 1 PM PEAK HR	Day 2 PM PEAK HR	Day 3 PM PEAK HR	Average	527 527	C C	0.36 0.36			
<b>SW 328 STREET</b>	2LU 2LU 4LD 2LU	Collector Collector Collector Collector	Homestead Homestead Homestead Homestead	Traffic Study Traffic Study Traffic Study Traffic Study	4/15/2004 3/24/2004 3/23/2004 4/15/2004	1.00 1.01 1.01 1.01	1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	E E E E	1,480 1,480 1,320 1,480	819 819 1,026 603	Day 1 PM PEAK HR	Day 2 PM PEAK HR	Day 3 PM PEAK HR	Average	819 827 1,036 609	C C C C	0.55 0.56 0.33 0.41			
<b>SW 344 STREET</b>	2LU 2LU 2LU 4LD 4LD 4LD	Collector State Minor Arterial State Minor Arterial State Minor Arterial State Minor Arterial Collector	Homestead Florida City Florida City Florida City Florida City Florida City	FDOT-0084 FDOT-0084 FDOT-0084 FDOT-0084 FDOT-2548 Traffic Study	9/13-15/04 9/13-15/04 9/13-15/04 9/13-15/04 1/20-22/04 3/22/2004	1.03 1.03 1.03 1.03 1.01 1.00	1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	D C C C C C	1,390 1,310 1,310 1,300 3,200 2,030	774 774 774 774 1,623 1,208	Day 1 PM PEAK HR	Day 2 PM PEAK HR	Day 3 PM PEAK HR	Average	844 844 844 844 1,624 1,208	C C C C B C	0.61 0.64 0.64 0.26 0.49 0.60			
<b>SW 192 AVENUE</b>	2LU 2LU 2LU 4LD 4LD 4LD	Collector Collector Collector State Minor Arterial State Minor Arterial Collector	Homestead Florida City Florida City Florida City Florida City Florida City	FDOT-0151 FDOT-0151 FDOT-0151 FDOT-0151 FDOT-0151 FDOT-0151	1/20-22/04 1/20-22/04 1/20-22/04 1/20-22/04 1/20-22/04 1/20-22/04	1.01 1.01 1.01 1.01 1.01 1.01	1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	D C C D	1,390 870 870 1,560	194 194 194 194 194 194	Day 1 PM PEAK HR	Day 2 PM PEAK HR	Day 3 PM PEAK HR	Average	259 259 259 259 259 259	C C C C B C	0.19 0.30 0.30 0.17			
<b>SW 197 AVENUE</b>	2LU 2LU 2LU 2LU 2LU	Collector Collector Collector Collector State Principal Arterial	Homestead Florida City Florida City Florida City Miami-Dade	FDOT-0151 FDOT-0151 FDOT-0151 FDOT-0151 FDOT-0151	1/20-22/04 1/20-22/04 1/20-22/04 1/20-22/04 1/20-22/04	1.01 1.01 1.01 1.01 1.01	1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	D C C D	1,390 870 870 1,560	194 187 187 187 187	Day 1 PM PEAK HR	Day 2 PM PEAK HR	Day 3 PM PEAK HR	Average	262 262 262 262 262	C C C B C	0.19 0.30 0.30 0.17			
<b>SW 187 AVENUE</b>	2LU 2LU 2LU 2LU	Local Road Local Road Local Road Local Road	Homestead Homestead Homestead Florida City	Traffic Study Traffic Study Traffic Study Traffic Study	3/32/2004 3/32/2004 3/32/2004 3/32/2004	1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	E E C	1,610 1,610 1,610 870	752 752 752 246	Day 1 PM PEAK HR	Day 2 PM PEAK HR	Day 3 PM PEAK HR	Average	752 752 661 807	C C C C	0.47 0.47 0.41 0.62			
<b>SW 182 AVENUE</b>	2LU 2LU 2LU 2LU	Local Road Local Road Local Road Local Road	Homestead Homestead Homestead Florida City	Traffic Study Traffic Study Traffic Study Traffic Study	3/32/2004 3/32/2004 3/32/2004 3/32/2004	1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	E E C	1,480 1,480 870	413 461 343	Day 1 PM PEAK HR	Day 2 PM PEAK HR	Day 3 PM PEAK HR	Average	413 461 343	C C C	0.28 0.31 0.39			
<b>SW 177 AVENUE</b>	2LU 2LU 2LU 2LU 2LU	State Principal Arterial State Principal Arterial State Principal Arterial State Principal Arterial State Principal Arterial	Homestead Homestead Homestead Homestead Miami-Dade	FDOT-0043 FDOT-0043 FDOT-5017 FDOT-5017 FDOT-0518	1/20-22/04 1/20-22/04 1/20-22/04 1/20-22/04 1/20-22/04	1.01 1.01 1.01 1.01 1.01	0.91 0.91 0.91 0.91 0.91	D D D D D	1,560 1,560 1,670 1,310 1,310	1,308 1,308 1,495 792 792	Day 1 PM PEAK HR	Day 2 PM PEAK HR	Day 3 PM PEAK HR	Average	1,308 1,308 1,529 778 778	C C D C C	0.77 0.77 0.92 0.92 0.54			
<b>SW 296 Street to SW 304 Street</b>	2LU 2LU 2LU 2LU 2LU	Local Road Local Road Local Road Local Road Local Road	Homestead Homestead Homestead Homestead Homestead	Traffic Study Traffic Study Traffic Study Traffic Study Traffic Study	3/32/2004 3/32/2004 3/32/2004 3/32/2004 3/32/2004	1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	E E C	1,560 1,560 1,670 1,310 1,310	1,322 1,322 1,495 792 792	Day 1 PM PEAK HR	Day 2 PM PEAK HR	Day 3 PM PEAK HR	Average	1,322 1,322 1,565 765 765	C C D C C	0.77 0.77 0.92 0.92 0.54			
<b>SW 304 Street to SW 312 Street</b>	2LU 2LU 2LU 2LU 2LU	Local Road Local Road Local Road Local Road Local Road	Homestead Homestead Homestead Homestead Homestead	Traffic Study Traffic Study Traffic Study Traffic Study Traffic Study	3/32/2004 3/32/2004 3/32/2004 3/32/2004 3/32/2004	1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	0.91 0.91 0.91 0.91 0.91	D D D D D	1,560 1,560 1,670 1,310 1,310	1,322 1,322 1,495 792 792	Day 1 PM PEAK HR	Day 2 PM PEAK HR	Day 3 PM PEAK HR	Average	1,322 1,322 1,565 765 765	C C D C C	0.77 0.77 0.92 0.92 0.54			
<b>SW 312 Street to SW 320 Street</b>	2LU 2LU 2LU 2LU 2LU	Local Road Local Road Local Road Local Road Local Road	Homestead Homestead Homestead Homestead Homestead	Traffic Study Traffic Study Traffic Study Traffic Study Traffic Study	3/32/2004 3/32/2004 3/32/2004 3/32/2004 3/32/2004	1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	0.91 0.91 0.91 0.91 0.91	C C C C C	1,310 1,310 1,310 1,310 1,310	1,322 1,322 1,495 792 792	Day 1 PM PEAK HR	Day 2 PM PEAK HR	Day 3 PM PEAK HR	Average	1,322 1,322 1,565 765 765	C C D C C	0.77 0.77 0.92 0.92 0.54			
<b>SW 320 Street to SW 328 Street</b>	2LU 2LU 2LU 2LU 2LU	Local Road Local Road Local Road Local Road Local Road	Homestead Homestead Homestead Homestead Homestead	Traffic Study Traffic Study Traffic Study Traffic Study Traffic Study	3/32/2004 3/32/2004 3/32/2004 3/32/2004 3/32/2004	1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	0.91 0.91 0.91 0.91 0.91	D D D D D	1,560 1,560 1,670 1,310 1,310	1,322 1,322 1,495 792 792	Day 1 PM PEAK HR	Day 2 PM PEAK HR	Day 3 PM PEAK HR	Average	1,322 1,322 1,565 765 765	C C D C C	0.77 0.77 0.92 0.92 0.54			
<b>SW 328 Street to SW 336 Street</b>	2LU 2LU 2LU 2LU 2LU	Local Road Local Road Local Road Local Road Local Road	Homestead Homestead Homestead Homestead Homestead	Traffic Study Traffic Study Traffic Study Traffic Study Traffic Study	3/32/2004 3/32/2004 3/32/2004 3/32/2004 3/32/2004	1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	0.91 0.91 0.91 0.91 0.91	C C C C C	1,310 1,310 1,310 1,310 1,310	1,322 1,322 1,495 792 792	Day 1 PM PEAK HR	Day 2 PM PEAK HR	Day 3 PM PEAK HR	Average	1,322 1,322 1,565 765 765	C C D C C	0.77 0.77 0.92 0.92 0.54			
<b>SW 336 Street to SW 344 Street</b>	2LU 2LU 2LU 2LU 2LU	Local Road Local Road Local Road Local Road Local Road	Homestead Homestead Homestead Homestead Homestead	Traffic Study Traffic Study Traffic Study Traffic Study Traffic Study	3/32/2004 3/32/2004 3/32/2004 3/32/2004 3/32/2004	1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	0.91 0.91 0.91 0.91 0.91	D D D D D	1,560 1,560 1,670 1,310 1,310	1,322 1,322 1,495 792 792	Day 1 PM PEAK HR	Day 2 PM PEAK HR	Day 3 PM PEAK HR	Average	1,322 1,322 1,565 765 765	C C D C C	0.77 0.77 0.92 0.92 0.54			
<b>SW 344 Street to SW 352 Street</b>	2LU 2LU 2LU 2LU 2LU	Local Road Local Road Local Road Local Road Local Road	Homestead Homestead Homestead Homestead Homestead	Traffic Study Traffic Study Traffic Study Traffic Study Traffic Study	3/32/2004 3/32/2004 3/32/2004 3/32/2004 3/32/2004	1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	0.91 0.91 0.91 0.91 0.91	D D D D D	1,310 1,310 1,310 1,310 1,310	1,322 1,322 1,495 792 792	Day 1 PM PEAK HR	Day 2 PM PEAK HR	Day 3 PM PEAK HR	Average	1,322 1,322 1,565 765 765	C C D C C	0.77 0.77 0.92 0.92 0.54			
<b>SW 352 Street to US-1</b>	2LU 2LU 2LU 2LU 2LU	Local Road Local Road Local Road Local Road Local Road	Homestead Homestead Homestead Homestead Homestead	Traffic Study Traffic Study Traffic Study Traffic Study Traffic Study	3/32/2004 3/32/2004 3/32/2004 3/32/2004 3/32/2004	1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	0.91 0.91 0.91 0.91 0.91	D D D D D	1,560 1,560 1,670 1,310 1,310	1,322 1,322 1,495 792 792	Day 1 PM PEAK HR	Day 2 PM PEAK HR	Day 3 PM PEAK HR	Average	1,322 1,322 1,565 765 765	C C D C C	0.77 0.77 0.92 0.92 0.54			

**TABLE 5A**  
**CDMP AMENDMENT APPLICATIONS 13, 14, 15**  
**EXISTING TWO-WAY PM PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC CONDITIONS**

ROADWAY SEGMENTS	EXISTING LANES	ROADWAY FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION	MUNICIPAL JURISDICTION	COUNT SOURCE	COUNT DATE	FOOT PSCF	FOOT AXLE	ADOPTED LOS	TWO-WAY PEAK HOUR CAPACITY		EXISTING TWO-WAY PM PEAK HR VOLUMES		EXISTING TWO-WAY PM PEAK HR VOLUMES		AVERAGE TWO-WAY PM PEAK HR VOLUMES		EXISTING TWO-WAY PM PEAK HR PK SEASON		EXISTING LOS		EXISTING V/C	
									PEAK HOUR	CAPACITY	PM PEAK HR	VOLUMES	PM PEAK HR	VOLUMES	PM PEAK HR	VOLUMES	PM PEAK HR	VOLUMES	PM PEAK HR	VOLUMES	PM PEAK HR	VOLUMES
<b>SW 167 AVENUE</b>																						
US-1 to SW 312 Street	2LU	Collector	Homestead	City Count	5/11/2006	1.01	1.00	E	1,480	571							577	C	0.39			
SW 312 Street to SW 320 Street	2LU	Collector	Homestead	Traffic Study	4/14/2004	1.01	1.00	E	1,480	505							510	C	0.34			
SW 320 Street to SW 328 Street	2LU	Collector	Homestead	Traffic Study	3/23/2004	1.00	1.00	E	1,480	550							591	C	0.37			
SW 328 Street to SW 344 Street	2LU	Collector	Homestead	Traffic Study	3/32/2004	1.00	1.00	E	1,480	591								C	0.40			
<b>US-1</b>																						
SW 296 Street to SW 304 Street	4LD	State Principal Arterial	Miami-Dade	FDOT-0545	1/20-22/04	1.01	0.95	EE	4,068	2,280							2,298	B	0.54			
SW 304 Street to SW 312 Street	4LD	State Principal Arterial	Homestead	FDOT-0545	1/20-22/04	1.01	0.95	EE	4,068	2,280							2,312	B	0.54			
SW 312 Street to SW 320 Street	4LD	State Principal Arterial	Homestead	FDOT-0545	1/20-22/04	1.01	0.95	EE	4,068	2,303							2,312	B	0.54			
SW 320 Street to SW 328 Street	4LD	State Principal Arterial	Florida City	FDOT-0544	1/20-22/04	1.01	0.95	EE	4,068	2,292							2,298	B	0.53			
SW 328 Street to SW 336 Street	4LD	State Principal Arterial	Florida City	FDOT-0544	1/20-22/04	1.01	0.95	C	3,300	2,292							2,251	B	0.65			
SW 336 Street to SW 344 Street	4LD	State Principal Arterial	Florida City	FDOT-0544	1/20-22/04	1.01	0.95	C	3,300	2,292							2,200	B	0.65			
SW 344 Street to SW 177 Avenue	4LD	State Principal Arterial	Florida City	FDOT-0543	1/20-22/04	1.01	0.95	C	3,300	2,251							2,200	B	0.65			
SW 177 Avenue to Card Sound Road	4LD	State Principal Arterial	Miami-Dade	FDOT-0543	1/20-22/04	1.01	0.95	EE	4,068	1,823							1,889	B	0.55			
<b>CARD SOUND ROAD</b>																						
US-1 to SW 360 Street	2LU	State Principal Arterial	Florida City	Traffic Study	3/3/2004	1.00	1.00	C	1,310	491							491	C	0.37			
SW 360 Street to the South	2LU	State Principal Arterial	Florida City	Traffic Study	3/3/2004	1.00	1.00	C	1,310	441							441	C	0.34			
<b>HEFT</b>																						
SW 312 Street to US-1	4LEXPY	State Principal Arterial	FDOT	FDOT-2262	2005 AADT	1.00	1.00	D	6,510	[5]							2,950	B	0.45			

Notes:

- [1] The traffic count data used in the analysis was obtained from FDOT, Miami-Dade County, the City of Homestead, other area-wide studies.
- [2] The existing counts have been adjusted for peak season using the FDOT P-SCF and the FDOT Axle Factors.
- [3] The adopted LOS standards are consistent with the City and County Comprehensive Plans.
- [4] The two-way peak-hour capacities are obtained from the 2002 FDOT Quality/LOS Handbook.
- [5] The HEFT PM peak hour counts are derived from applying a K factor of 0.098 to the 2005 AADT.

**TABLE 5B**  
**CDMP AMENDMENT APPLICATIONS 13, 14, 15**  
**YEAR 2015 TWO-WAY PM PEAK HOUR BACKGROUND TRAFFIC CONDITIONS**

ROADWAY SEGMENTS	2015 LANES	ADOPTED LOS	TWO-WAY PEAK HOUR CAPACITY	EXISTING TWO-WAY PM PEAK HR PK SEASON	HISTORICAL GROWTH RATE	2015 TWO-WAY PM PEAK HR PK SEASON	ESTIMATED COMMITTED PROJECT TRAFFIC	2015 TWO-WAY PM PEAK HR W/O PROJECT	FUTURE WITHOUT PROJECT LOS	FUTURE WITHOUT PROJECT V/C
<b>SW 312 STREET</b> SW 187 Avenue to SW 177 Avenue SW 177 Avenue to US-1 US-1 to SW 167 Avenue	2LD 4LD 4LD	E E E	1,690 3,390 3,390	512 2,046 2,226	1.01% 1.01% 1.01%	572 2,285 2,486	400 500 600	972 2,785 3,086	C C C	0.58 0.82 0.91
<b>SW 320 STREET</b> SW 192 Avenue to SW 187 Avenue SW 187 Avenue to SW 177 Avenue	2LU 2LU 4LD 4LD	E E E E	1,480 1,480 3,120 3,120	527 527 1,036 609	1.01% 1.01% 1.01% 1.01%	589 589	100 100	689 689	C C	0.47 0.47
<b>SW 328 STREET</b> SW 192 Avenue to SW 187 Avenue SW 187 Avenue to SW 177 Avenue SW 177 Avenue to US-1 US-1 to SW 167 Avenue	2LU 2LU 4LD 4LD	E E E E	1,480 1,480 3,120 3,120	819 827 1,036 609	1.01% 1.01% 1.01% 1.01%	915 924 1,157 680	100 100 200 300	1,015 1,024 1,357 980	D D C C	0.69 0.69 0.44 0.31
<b>SW 344 STREET</b> SW 197 Avenue to SW 192 Avenue SW 192 Avenue to SW 187 Avenue SW 187 Avenue to SW 182 Avenue SW 182 Avenue to SW 177 Avenue SW 177 Avenue to US-1 US-1 to SW 167 Avenue	2LU 2LU 2LU 4LD 4LD 4LD	D C C C C C	1,390 1,310 1,310 3,300 3,300 2,030	844 844 844 844 1,624 1,208	1.01% 1.01% 1.01% 1.01% 1.01% 1.01%	942 942 942 942 1,814 1,349	100 100 100 100 200 400	1,042 1,042 1,042 1,042 2,014 1,749	D C C B B C	0.75 0.80 0.80 0.32 0.61 0.86
<b>SW 192 AVENUE</b> SW 320 Street to SW 328 Street SW 328 Street to SW 336 Street SW 336 Street to SW 344 Street SW 344 Street to SW 360 Street	2LU 2LU 2LU 2LU	D C C D	1,390 870 870 1,560	262 262 262 262	1.01% 1.01% 1.01% 1.01%	293 293 293 293	100 100 100 100	393 393 393 393	C C C B	0.28 0.45 0.45 0.25
<b>SW 187 AVENUE</b> SW 296 Street to SW 304 Street SW 304 Street to SW 312 Street SW 312 Street to SW 320 Street SW 320 Street to SW 328 Street SW 328 Street to SW 344 Street SW 344 Street to SW 352 Street	2LU 2LU 2LU 2LU 2LU 2LU	E E E C C C	1,610 1,610 1,610 1,310 1,310 870	752 752 661 807 246	1.01% 1.01% 1.01% 1.01% 1.01% 1.01%	840 840 738 901 275	100 100 100 100 100	940 940 838 1,001 375	C C C C C	0.58 0.58 0.52 0.76 0.43
<b>SW 182 AVENUE</b> SW 312 Street to SW 320 Street SW 320 Street to SW 328 Street SW 328 Street to SW 344 Street	2LU 2LU 2LU	E E C	1,480 1,480 870	413 461 343	1.01% 1.01% 1.01%	461 515 383	100 100 100	561 615 483	C C C	0.38 0.42 0.56

**TABLE 5B**  
**CDMP AMENDMENT APPLICATIONS 13, 14, 15**  
**YEAR 2015 TWO-WAY PM PEAK HOUR BACKGROUND TRAFFIC CONDITIONS**

ROADWAY SEGMENTS	2015 LANES	ADOPTED LOS	TWO-WAY PEAK HOUR CAPACITY	EXISTING TWO-WAY PM PEAK HR PK SEASON	HISTORICAL GROWTH RATE	2015 TWO-WAY PM PEAK HR PK SEASON	ESTIMATED COMMITTED PROJECT TRAFFIC	2015 TWO-WAY PM PEAK HR W/O PROJECT	FUTURE WITHOUT PROJECT LOS	FUTURE WITHOUT PROJECT V/C
<b>SW 177 AVENUE</b>										
SW 296 Street to SW 304 Street	2LD	D	1,638	1,202	1.01%	1,343	100	1,443	D	0.88
SW 304 Street to SW 312 Street	2LD	D	1,638	1,202	1.01%	1,343	100	1,443	D	0.88
SW 312 Street to SW 320 Street	2LD	D	1,638	1,438	1.01%	1,606	100	1,706	F	1.04
SW 320 Street to SW 328 Street	2LD	D	1,638	1,438	1.01%	1,606	100	1,706	F	1.04
SW 328 Street to SW 336 Street	4LD	C	3,300	703	1.01%	785	100	885	B	0.27
SW 336 Street to SW 344 Street	4LD	C	3,300	703	1.01%	785	100	885	B	0.27
SW 344 Street to SW 352 Street	4LD	C	3,300	362	1.01%	404	100	504	B	0.15
SW 352 Street to US-1	4LD	D	3,390	362	1.01%	404	100	504	B	0.15
<b>SW 167 AVENUE</b>										
US-1 to SW 312 Street	2LU	E	1,480	577	1.01%	638	200	838	C	0.57
SW 312 Street to SW 320 Street	2LU	E	1,480	510	1.01%	570	200	770	C	0.52
SW 320 Street to SW 328 Street	2LU	E	1,480	550	1.01%	614	200	814	C	0.55
SW 328 Street to SW 344 Street	2LU	E	1,480	591	1.01%	660	200	860	C	0.58
<b>US-1</b>										
SW 296 Street to SW 304 Street	4LD	EE	4,068	2,205	1.01%	2,463	500	2,963	C	0.73
SW 304 Street to SW 312 Street	4LD	EE	4,068	2,205	1.01%	2,463	500	2,963	C	0.73
SW 312 Street to SW 320 Street	4LD	EE	4,068	2,205	1.01%	2,463	500	2,963	C	0.73
SW 320 Street to SW 328 Street	4LD	EE	4,068	2,157	1.01%	2,409	500	2,909	C	0.72
SW 328 Street to SW 336 Street	4LD	C	3,300	2,157	1.01%	2,409	500	2,909	C	0.88
SW 336 Street to SW 344 Street	4LD	C	3,300	2,157	1.01%	2,409	500	2,909	C	0.88
SW 344 Street to SW 177 Avenue	4LD	C	3,300	1,800	1.01%	2,011	500	2,511	B	0.76
SW 177 Avenue to Card Sound Road	4LD	EE	4,068	1,800	1.01%	2,011	200	2,211	B	0.54
<b>CARD SOUND ROAD</b>										
US-1 to SW 360 Street	2LU	C	1,310	491	1.01%	548	200	748	C	0.57
SW 360 Street to the South	2LU	C	1,310	441	1.01%	493	200	693	C	0.53
<b>HEFT</b>										
SW 312 Street to US-1	4LEXPY	D	6,510	2,950	2.52%	3,879	2,000	5,879	D	0.90

Notes:

[1] The widening of SW 328 Street to 4 lanes is funded in TIP 2007, PW671605, Section A5, page 78.  
The widening of SW 177 Avenue to 4 lanes from US-1 to SW 328 Street is funded in TIP 2007, DT4055753, Section A1, page 34.

SW 177 Avenue from SW 328 Street to SW 296 Street will be reconstructed as a 2LD roadway with turn lanes at intersections.  
[2] The adopted LOS standards are consistent with the City and County Comprehensive Plans.

[3] The two-way peak hour roadway capacities are obtained from the 2002 FDOT Quality/LOS Handbook.

[4] See the Historical Growth Rate calculations provided in Tables 6A and 6B.

**TABLE 5C - APPLICATION 13  
CDMP AMENDMENT APPLICATIONS 13, 14, 15  
YEAR 2015 TWO-WAY PM PEAK HOUR TOTAL TRAFFIC CONDITIONS**

ROADWAY SEGMENTS	2015 LANES	ADOPTED LOS	TWO-WAY PEAK HOUR CAPACITY	2015 TWO-WAY PM PEAK HR W/O PROJECT	FUTURE WITHOUT PROJECT LOS	Application 13 Project Distribution Percent	Application 13 Net External Trips 74	2015 TWO-WAY PM PEAK HR with Project	FUTURE WITH PROJECT LOS	FUTURE WITH PROJECT V/C
								2015 PM PEAK HR with Project	2015 PM PEAK HR with Project	2015 PM PEAK HR with Project
<b>SW 312 STREET</b> SW 187 Avenue to SW 177 Avenue SW 177 Avenue to US-1 US-1 to SW 167 Avenue	[1] 2LD 4LD 4LD	[2] E E E	[3] 1,690 3,390 3,390	972 2,785 3,086	C C C	6.20% 10.40% 10.40%	5 8 8	976 2,793 3,094	C C C	0.58 0.82 0.91
<b>SW 320 STREET</b> SW 192 Avenue to SW 187 Avenue SW 187 Avenue to SW 177 Avenue	2LU 2LU	E E	1,480 1,480	689 689	C C	20.80% 10.40%	15 8	704 696	C C	0.48 0.47
<b>SW 328 STREET</b> SW 192 Avenue to SW 187 Avenue SW 187 Avenue to SW 177 Avenue SW 177 Avenue to US-1 US-1 to SW 167 Avenue [3]	2LU 2LU 4LD 4LD	E E E E	1,480 1,480 3,120 3,120	1,015 1,024 1,357 980	D D C C	6.04% 8.04% 4.00% 4.00%	4 6 3 3	1,019 1,030 1,360 983	D D C C	0.69 0.70 0.44 0.32
<b>SW 344 STREET</b> SW 197 Avenue to SW 192 Avenue SW 192 Avenue to SW 187 Avenue SW 187 Avenue to SW 182 Avenue SW 182 Avenue to SW 177 Avenue SW 177 Avenue to US-1 US-1 to SW 167 Avenue	2LU 2LU 2LU 4LD 4LD 4LD	D C C C C C	1,390 1,310 1,310 3,300 3,300 2,030	1,042 1,042 1,042 2,014 2,014 1,749	D C C B B C	56.19% 74.23% 56.19% 46.57% 46.00% 42	42 55 42 34 34 10	1,084 1,097 1,084 2,048 2,048 1,760	D C C B B C	0.78 0.84 0.83 0.33 0.62 0.87
<b>SW 192 AVENUE</b> SW 320 Street to SW 328 Street SW 328 Street to SW 336 Street SW 336 Street to SW 344 Street SW 344 Street to SW 360 Street	2LU 2LU 2LU 2LU	D C C D	1,390 870 870 1,560	393 393 393 393	C C B B	20.80% 20.80% 20.80% 4.97%	15 15 15 4	408 408 408 396	C C C B	0.29 0.47 0.47 0.25
<b>SW 187 AVENUE</b> SW 296 Street to SW 304 Street SW 304 Street to SW 312 Street SW 312 Street to SW 320 Street SW 320 Street to SW 328 Street SW 328 Street to SW 344 Street SW 344 Street to SW 352 Street	2LU 2LU 2LU 2LU 2LU 2LU	E E E C C C	1,610 1,610 1,610 1,310 1,310 870	940 940 940 1,001 1,001 375	C C C C C C	2.20% 4.20% 10.40% 6.04% 18.40% 2.40%	2 3 8 4 14 2	942 943 948 843 1,015 377	C C C C C C	0.58 0.59 0.59 0.52 0.77 0.43
<b>SW 182 AVENUE</b> SW 312 Street to SW 320 Street SW 320 Street to SW 328 Street SW 328 Street to SW 344 Street	2LU 2LU 2LU	E E C	1,480 1,480 870	561 615 483	C C C	8.40% 8.40% 2.00%	6 6 1	567 621 485	C C C	0.38 0.42 0.56

**TABLE 5C - APPLICATION 13  
CDMP AMENDMENT APPLICATIONS 13, 14, 15  
YEAR 2015 TWO-WAY PM PEAK HOUR TOTAL TRAFFIC CONDITIONS**

ROADWAY SEGMENTS	2015 LANES	ADOPTED LOS	TWO-WAY PEAK HOUR CAPACITY	2015 TWO-WAY PM PEAK HR W/O PROJECT	FUTURE WITHOUT PROJECT LOS	Application 13 Project Distribution Percent	Application 13 Net External Trips 74	2015 TWO-WAY PM PEAK HR with Project	2015 TWO-WAY WITH PROJECT LOS	FUTURE WITH PROJECT V/C
								Project Distribution Percent	Net External Trips 74	2015 TWO-WAY PM PEAK HR with Project
<b>SW 177 AVENUE</b>										
SW 296 Street to SW 304 Street	2LD	D	1,638	1,443	D	2.00%	1	1,445	D	0.88
SW 304 Street to SW 312 Street	2LD	D	1,638	1,443	D	2.00%	1	1,445	D	0.88
SW 312 Street to SW 320 Street	2LD	D	1,638	1,706	F	2.00%	1	1,708	F	1.04
SW 320 Street to SW 328 Street	2LD	D	1,638	1,706	F	2.00%	1	1,708	F	1.04
SW 328 Street to SW 336 Street	4LD	C	3,300	885	B	2.00%	1	886	B	0.27
SW 336 Street to SW 344 Street	4LD	C	3,300	885	B	2.00%	1	886	B	0.27
SW 344 Street to SW 362 Street	4LD	C	3,300	504	B	9.62%	7	511	B	0.15
SW 352 Street to US-1	4LD	D	3,390	504	B	9.62%	7	511	B	0.15
<b>SW 167 AVENUE</b>										
US-1 to SW 312 Street	2LU	E	1,480	838	C	4.00%	3	841	C	0.57
SW 312 Street to SW 320 Street	2LU	E	1,480	770	C	4.00%	3	773	C	0.52
SW 320 Street to SW 344 Street	2LU	E	1,480	814	C	4.00%	3	817	C	0.55
SW 328 Street to SW 344 Street	2LU	E	1,480	860	C	4.00%	3	863	C	0.58
<b>US-1</b>										
SW 296 Street to SW 304 Street	4LD	EE	4,068	2,963	C	14.04%	10	2,973	C	0.73
SW 304 Street to SW 312 Street	4LD	EE	4,068	2,963	C	14.04%	10	2,973	C	0.73
SW 312 Street to SW 320 Street	4LD	EE	4,068	2,909	C	14.04%	10	2,973	C	0.73
SW 320 Street to SW 328 Street	4LD	EE	4,068	2,909	C	14.04%	10	2,919	C	0.72
SW 328 Street to SW 336 Street	4LD	C	3,300	2,909	C	8.00%	6	2,915	C	0.88
SW 336 Street to SW 344 Street	4LD	C	3,300	2,511	B	35.07%	26	2,935	C	0.89
SW 344 Street to SW 177 Avenue	4LD	C	3,300	2,211	B	5.50%	4	2,515	B	0.76
SW 177 Avenue to Card Sound Road	4LD	EE	4,068			9.62%	7	2,218	B	0.55
<b>CARD SOUND ROAD</b>										
US-1 to SW 360 Street	2LU	C	1,310	748	C	5.50%	4	752	C	0.57
SW 360 Street to the South	2LU	C	1,310	693	C	5.50%	4	697	C	0.53
<b>HEFT</b>										
SW 312 Street to US-1	4LEXPY	D	6,510	5,879	D	27.07%	20	5,899	D	0.91

Notes:

[1] The widening of SW 328 Street to 4 lanes is funded in TIP 2007, PW671605, Section A5, page 78.  
The widening of SW 177 Avenue to 4 lanes from US-1 to SW 328 Street is funded in TIP 2007, DT4055753, Section A1, page 34.

SW 177 Avenue from SW 328 Street to SW 296 Street will be reconstructed as a 2LD roadway with turn lanes at intersections.  
[2] The adopted LOS standards are consistent with the City and County Comprehensive Plans.

[3] The two-way peak hour roadway capacities are obtained from the 2002 FDOT Quality/LOS Handbook.

**TABLE 5D - APPLICATION 14  
CDMP AMENDMENT APPLICATIONS 13, 14, 15  
YEAR 2015 TWO-WAY PM PEAK HOUR TOTAL TRAFFIC CONDITIONS**

ROADWAY SEGMENTS	2015 LANES	ADOPTED LOS	TWO-WAY PEAK HOUR CAPACITY	2015 TWO-WAY PM PEAK HR W/O PROJECT	FUTURE WITHOUT PROJECT LOS	Application 14 Project Distribution Percent	2015 Net External Trips 571	2015 TWO-WAY PM PEAK HR with Project	FUTURE WITH PROJECT LOS	FUTURE WITH PROJECT V/C
<b>SW 312 STREET</b> SW 187 Avenue to SW 177 Avenue SW 177 Avenue to US-1 US-1 to SW 167 Avenue	[1] 2LD 4LD 4LD	[2] E E E	[3] 1,690 3,390 3,390	972 2,785 3,086	C C C	6.20% 10.40% 10.40%	35 59 59	1,007 2,845 3,146	C C C	0.60 0.84 0.93
<b>SW 320 STREET</b> SW 192 Avenue to SW 187 Avenue SW 187 Avenue to SW 177 Avenue	2LU 2LU	E E	1,480 1,480	689 689	C C	20.80% 10.40%	119 59	807 748	C C	0.55 0.51
<b>SW 328 STREET</b> SW 192 Avenue to SW 187 Avenue SW 187 Avenue to SW 177 Avenue SW 177 Avenue to US-1 US-1 to SW 167 Avenue [3]	2LU 2LU 4LD 4LD	E E E E	1,480 1,480 3,120 3,120	1,015 1,024 1,357 980	D D C C	6.04% 8.04% 4.00% 4.00%	34 46 23 23	1,049 1,070 1,380 1,003	D D C C	0.71 0.72 0.44 0.32
<b>SW 344 STREET</b> SW 197 Avenue to SW 192 Avenue SW 192 Avenue to SW 187 Avenue SW 187 Avenue to SW 182 Avenue SW 182 Avenue to SW 177 Avenue SW 177 Avenue to US-1 US-1 to SW 167 Avenue	2LU 2LU 2LU 4LD 4LD 4LD	D C C C C C	1,390 1,310 1,310 3,300 3,300 2,030	1,042 1,042 1,042 1,042 2,014 1,749	D C C B B C	56.19% 74.23% 56.19% 56.19% 46.57% 14.00%	321 424 321 321 266 80	1,363 1,466 1,363 1,363 2,279 1,829	D D D B B C	0.98 1.12 1.04 0.41 0.69 0.90
<b>SW 192 AVENUE</b> SW 320 Street to SW 328 Street SW 328 Street to SW 336 Street SW 336 Street to SW 344 Street SW 344 Street to SW 360 Street	2LU 2LU 2LU 2LU	D C C D	1,390 870 870 1,560	393 393 393 393	C C B B	20.80% 20.80% 20.80% 4.97%	119 119 119 28	119 119 119 421	C C C C	0.37 0.59 0.59 0.27
<b>SW 187 AVENUE</b> SW 296 Street to SW 304 Street SW 304 Street to SW 312 Street SW 312 Street to SW 320 Street SW 320 Street to SW 328 Street SW 328 Street to SW 344 Street SW 344 Street to SW 352 Street	2LU 2LU 2LU 2LU 2LU 2LU	E E E C C C	1,610 1,610 1,610 1,310 1,310 870	940 940 940 1,001 1,001 375	C C C C C C	2.20% 4.20% 10.40% 6.04% 18.40% 2.40%	13 24 59 34 105 14	952 964 999 873 1,106 388	C C C C C C	0.59 0.60 0.62 0.54 0.84 0.45
<b>SW 182 AVENUE</b> SW 312 Street to SW 320 Street SW 320 Street to SW 328 Street SW 328 Street to SW 344 Street	2LU 2LU 2LU	E E C	1,480 1,480 870	561 615 483	C C C	8.40% 8.40% 2.00%	48 48 11	609 663 495	C C C	0.41 0.45 0.57

**TABLE 5D - APPLICATION 14  
CDMP AMENDMENT APPLICATIONS 13, 14, 15  
YEAR 2015 TWO-WAY PM PEAK HOUR TOTAL TRAFFIC CONDITIONS**

ROADWAY SEGMENTS	2015 LANES	ADOPTED LOS	TWO-WAY PEAK HOUR CAPACITY	2015 TWO-WAY PM PEAK HR W/O PROJECT	FUTURE WITHOUT PROJECT LOS	Application 14 Project Distribution Percent	Application 14 Net External Trips 571	2015 TWO-WAY PM PEAK HR with Project	2015 TWO-WAY WITH PROJECT LOS	FUTURE WITH PROJECT V/C
								PM	HR	with Project
<b>SW 177 AVENUE</b>										
SW 296 Street to SW 304 Street	2LD	D	1,638	1,443	D	2.00%	11	1,454	D	0.89
SW 304 Street to SW 312 Street	2LD	D	1,638	1,443	D	2.00%	11	1,454	D	0.89
SW 312 Street to SW 320 Street	2LD	D	1,638	1,706	F	2.00%	11	1,718	F	1.05
SW 320 Street to SW 328 Street	2LD	D	1,638	1,706	F	2.00%	11	1,718	F	1.05
SW 328 Street to SW 336 Street	4LD	C	3,300	885	B	2.00%	11	896	B	0.27
SW 336 Street to SW 344 Street	4LD	C	3,300	885	B	2.00%	11	896	B	0.27
SW 344 Street to SW 352 Street	4LD	C	3,300	504	B	9.62%	55	559	B	0.17
SW 352 Street to US-1	4LD	D	3,390	504	B	9.62%	55	559	B	0.16
<b>SW 167 AVENUE</b>										
US-1 to SW 312 Street	2LU	E	1,480	838	C	4.00%	23	861	C	0.58
SW 312 Street to SW 320 Street	2LU	E	1,480	770	C	4.00%	23	793	C	0.54
SW 320 Street to SW 328 Street	2LU	E	1,480	814	C	4.00%	23	837	C	0.57
SW 328 Street to SW 344 Street	2LU	E	1,480	860	C	4.00%	23	883	D	0.60
<b>US-1</b>										
SW 296 Street to SW 304 Street	4LD	EE	4,068	2,963	C	14.04%	80	3,043	C	0.75
SW 304 Street to SW 312 Street	4LD	EE	4,068	2,963	C	14.04%	80	3,043	C	0.75
SW 312 Street to SW 320 Street	4LD	EE	4,068	2,909	C	14.04%	80	3,043	C	0.75
SW 320 Street to SW 328 Street	4LD	EE	4,068	2,909	C	8.00%	46	2,989	C	0.73
SW 328 Street to SW 336 Street	4LD	C	3,300	2,909	C	35.07%	200	2,954	C	0.90
SW 336 Street to SW 344 Street	4LD	C	3,300	2,511	B	5.50%	31	2,542	B	0.94
SW 344 Street to SW 177 Avenue	4LD	C	3,300	2,211	B	9.62%	55	2,266	B	0.77
SW 177 Avenue to Card Sound Road	4LD	EE	4,068							0.56
<b>CARD SOUND ROAD</b>										
US-1 to SW 360 Street	2LU	C	1,310	748	C	5.50%	31	780	C	0.60
SW 360 Street to the South	2LU	C	1,310	693	C	5.50%	31	724	C	0.55
<b>HEFT</b>										
SW 312 Street to US-1	4LEXPY	D	6,510	5,879	D	27.07%	155	6,034	D	0.93

Notes:

[1] The widening of SW 328 Street to 4 lanes is funded in TIP 2007, PW671605, Section A5, page 78.

The widening of SW 177 Avenue to 4 lanes from US-1 to SW 328 Street is funded in TIP 2007, DT4055753, Section A1, page 34.  
SW 177 Avenue from SW 328 Street to SW 296 Street will be reconstructed as a 2LD roadway with turn lanes at intersections.

[2] The adopted LOS standards are consistent with the City and County Comprehensive Plans.

[3] The two-way peak hour roadway capacities are obtained from the 2002 FDOT Quality/LOS Handbook.

**TABLE 5E - APPLICATION 15**  
**CDIMP AMENDMENT APPLICATIONS 13, 14, 15**  
**YEAR 2015 TWO-WAY PM PEAK HOUR TOTAL TRAFFIC CONDITIONS**

ROADWAY SEGMENTS	2015 LANES	ADOPTED LOS	TWO-WAY PEAK HOUR CAPACITY	2015 TWO-WAY PM PEAK HR W/O PROJECT	FUTURE WITHOUT PROJECT LOS	Project Distribution Percent	Application 15 Net External Trips 135	2015 TWO-WAY PM PEAK HR with Project	FUTURE WITH PROJECT LOS	FUTURE WITH PROJECT w/C
								2015	2015	2015
<b>SW 312 STREET</b>										
SW 187 Avenue to SW 177 Avenue	[1] 2LD	E	[2] [3]	1,690	972	C	2.00%	3	975	C 0.58
SW 177 Avenue to US-1	4LD	E	3,390	2,785	C	8.49%	11	2,797	C 0.83	
US-1 to SW 167 Avenue	4LD	E	3,390	3,086	C	8.49%	11	3,098	C 0.91	
<b>SW 320 STREET</b>										
SW 192 Avenue to SW 187 Avenue	2LU	E	1,480	689	C	8.07%	11	699	C 0.47	
SW 187 Avenue to SW 177 Avenue	2LU	E	1,480	689	C	10.49%	14	703	C 0.47	
<b>SW 328 STREET</b>										
SW 192 Avenue to SW 187 Avenue	2LU	E	1,480	1,015	D	10.00%	14	1,028	D 0.69	
SW 187 Avenue to SW 177 Avenue	2LU	E	1,480	1,024	D	10.00%	14	1,037	D 0.70	
SW 177 Avenue to US-1	4LD	E	3,120	1,357	C	12.00%	16	1,374	C 0.44	
US-1 to SW 167 Avenue [3]	4LD	E	3,120	980	C	2.00%	3	983	C 0.32	
<b>SW 344 STREET</b>										
SW 197 Avenue to SW 192 Avenue	2LU	D	1,390	1,042	D	2.00%	3	1,045	D 0.75	
SW 192 Avenue to SW 187 Avenue	2LU	C	1,310	1,042	C	86.09%	16	1,168	C 0.88	
SW 187 Avenue to SW 182 Avenue	2LU	C	1,310	1,042	C	45.60%	62	1,104	C 0.84	
SW 182 Avenue to SW 177 Avenue	4LD	C	3,300	1,042	B	45.60%	62	1,104	B 0.33	
SW 177 Avenue to US-1	4LD	C	3,300	2,014	B	31.69%	43	2,056	B 0.62	
US-1 to SW 167 Avenue	4LD	C	2,030	1,749	C	10.69%	14	1,764	C 0.87	
<b>SW 192 AVENUE</b>										
SW 320 Street to SW 328 Street	2LU	D	1,390	393	C	8.07%	11	403	C 0.29	
SW 328 Street to SW 336 Street	2LU	C	870	393	C	8.07%	11	403	C 0.46	
SW 336 Street to SW 344 Street	2LU	C	870	393	C	8.07%	11	403	C 0.46	
SW 344 Street to SW 360 Street	2LU	D	1,560	393	B	5.84%	8	400	B 0.26	
<b>SW 187 AVENUE</b>										
SW 296 Street to SW 304 Street	2LU	E	1,610	940	C	4.07%	5	945	C 0.59	
SW 304 Street to SW 312 Street	2LU	E	1,610	940	C	6.07%	8	948	C 0.59	
SW 312 Street to SW 320 Street	2LU	E	1,610	940	C	8.07%	11	951	C 0.59	
SW 320 Street to SW 328 Street	2LU	E	1,610	838	C	20.49%	28	866	C 0.54	
SW 328 Street to SW 344 Street	2LU	C	1,310	1,001	C	40.49%	55	1,056	C 0.81	
SW 344 Street to SW 352 Street	2LU	C	870	375	C	2.49%	3	378	C 0.43	
<b>SW 182 AVENUE</b>										
SW 312 Street to SW 320 Street	2LU	E	1,480	561	C	8.49%	11	573	C 0.39	
SW 320 Street to SW 328 Street	2LU	E	1,480	615	C	4.49%	6	621	C 0.42	
SW 328 Street to SW 344 Street	2LU	C	870	483	C	2.00%	3	486	C 0.56	

**TABLE 5E - APPLICATION 15**  
**CDMP AMENDMENT APPLICATIONS 13, 14, 15**  
**YEAR 2015 TWO-WAY PM PEAK HOUR TOTAL TRAFFIC CONDITIONS**

ROADWAY SEGMENTS	2015 LANES	ADOPTED LOS	TWO-WAY PEAK HOUR CAPACITY	2015 TWO-WAY PM PEAK HR W/O PROJECT	FUTURE WITHOUT PROJECT LOS	Project Distribution Percent	Application 15 Net External Trips 135	2015 TWO-WAY PM PEAK HR with Project	FUTURE WITH PROJECT LOS	FUTURE WITH PROJECT w/C
								2015	2015	2015
<b>SW 177 AVENUE</b>										
SW 296 Street to SW 304 Street	2LD	D	1,638	1,443	D	3.00%	4	1,447	D	0.88
SW 304 Street to SW 312 Street	2LD	D	1,638	1,443	D	4.00%	5	1,448	D	0.88
SW 312 Street to SW 320 Street	2LD	D	1,638	1,706	F	4.00%	5	1,712	F	1.04
SW 320 Street to SW 328 Street	2LD	D	1,638	1,706	F	2.00%	3	1,709	F	1.04
SW 328 Street to SW 336 Street	4LD	C	3,300	885	B	2.00%	3	888	B	0.27
SW 336 Street to SW 344 Street	4LD	C	3,300	885	B	2.00%	3	888	B	0.27
SW 344 Street to SW 352 Street	4LD	C	3,300	504	B	13.91%	19	523	B	0.16
SW 352 Street to US-1	4LD	D	3,390	504	B	13.91%	19	523	B	0.15
<b>SW 167 AVENUE</b>										
US-1 to SW 312 Street	2LU	E	1,480	838	C	4.00%	5	843	C	0.57
SW 312 Street to SW 320 Street	2LU	E	1,480	770	C	4.00%	5	775	C	0.52
SW 320 Street to SW 328 Street	2LU	E	1,480	814	C	4.00%	5	820	C	0.55
SW 328 Street to SW 344 Street	2LU	E	1,480	860	C	4.00%	5	865	C	0.58
<b>US-1</b>										
SW 296 Street to SW 304 Street	4LD	EE	4,068	2,963	C	26.00%	35	2,998	C	0.74
SW 304 Street to SW 312 Street	4LD	EE	4,068	2,963	C	26.00%	35	2,998	C	0.74
SW 312 Street to SW 320 Street	4LD	EE	4,068	2,909	C	26.00%	35	2,998	C	0.74
SW 320 Street to SW 328 Street	4LD	EE	4,068	2,909	C	16.00%	22	2,944	C	0.72
SW 328 Street to SW 336 Street	4LD	C	3,300	2,909	C	37.00%	50	2,930	C	0.89
SW 336 Street to SW 344 Street	4LD	C	3,300	2,511	B	4.29%	6	2,517	B	0.76
SW 344 Street to SW 177 Avenue	4LD	EE	4,068	2,211	B	4.29%	6	2,217	B	0.54
SW 177 Avenue to Card Sound Road	4LD									
<b>CARD SOUND ROAD</b>										
US-1 to SW 360 Street	2LU	C	1,310	748	C	9.62%	13	761	C	0.58
SW 360 Street to the South	2LU	C	1,310	693	C	9.62%	13	706	C	0.54
<b>HEFT</b>										
SW 312 Street to US-1	4LEXPY	D	6,510	5,879	D	21.00%	28	5,907	D	0.91

Notes:

[1] The widening of SW 328 Street to 4 lanes is funded in TIP 2007, PW671605, Section A5, page 78.

The widening of SW 177 Avenue to 4 lanes from US-1 to SW 328 Street is funded in TIP 2007, DT4055753, Section A1, page 34.  
 SW 177 Avenue from SW 328 Street to SW 296 Street will be reconstructed as a 2LD roadway with turn lanes at intersections.

[2] The adopted LOS standards are consistent with the City and County Comprehensive Plans.

[3] The two-way peak hour roadway capacities are obtained from the 2002 FDOT Quality/LOS Handbook.

**TABLE 5F - CUMULATIVE IMPACT OF 13, 14, 15  
CDMP AMENDMENT APPLICATIONS 13, 14, 15  
YEAR 2015 TWO-WAY PM PEAK HOUR TOTAL TRAFFIC CONDITIONS**

ROADWAY SEGMENTS	2015 LANES	ADOPTED LOS	TWO-WAY PEAK HOUR CAPACITY	2015 TWO-WAY PM PEAK HR W/O PROJECT		FUTURE E WITHOUT PROJECT LOS		No. 13		No. 14		No. 15		Total		2015 TWO-WAY PM PEAK HR with Project		FUTURE WITH PROJECT LOS		FUTURE WITH PROJECT V/C	
				[2]	[3]	Trips 74	C	5	35	3	43	1,015	2,864	1,165	C	0.60	C	0.84	C	0.93	
<b>SW 312 STREET</b>	SW 187 Avenue to SW 177 Avenue	2LD	E	1,690	972	C	5	35	3	43	1,015	2,864	1,165	C	0.60	C	0.84	C	0.93		
SW 177 Avenue to US-1		4LD	E	3,390	2,785	C	8	59	11	79	79										
US-1 to SW 167 Avenue		4LD	E	3,390	3,086	C	8	59	11	79	79										
<b>SW 320 STREET</b>	SW 192 Avenue to SW 187 Avenue	2LU	E	1,480	689	C	15	119	11	145	834	770	C	0.56	C	0.52					
SW 187 Avenue to SW 177 Avenue		2LU	E	1,480	689	C	8	59	14	81											
<b>SW 328 STREET</b>	SW 192 Avenue to SW 187 Avenue	2LU	E	1,480	1,015	D	4	34	14	52	1,067	D	0.72	D	0.74	D	0.74	D	0.74		
SW 187 Avenue to SW 177 Avenue		2LU	E	1,480	1,024	D	6	46	14	65	1,089	D	0.74	D	0.74	D	0.74	D	0.74		
SW 177 Avenue to US-1		4LD	E	3,120	1,357	C	3	23	16	42	1,399	C	0.45	C	0.45	C	0.45	C	0.45		
US-1 to SW 167 Avenue [3]		4LD	E	3,120	980	C	3	23	3	29	1,009	C	0.32	C	0.32	C	0.32	C	0.32		
<b>SW 344 STREET</b>	SW 197 Avenue to SW 192 Avenue	2LU	D	1,390	1,042	D	42	321	3	365	1,407	D	1.01	D	1.01	F	1.25	D	1.12	D	1.12
SW 192 Avenue to SW 187 Avenue		2LU	C	1,310	1,042	C	55	424	116	595	1,637	F	1.25	F	1.25	D	1.46	B	0.44	B	0.44
SW 187 Avenue to SW 182 Avenue		2LU	C	1,310	1,042	C	42	321	62	424	1,466	B	1.12	B	1.12	D	1.46	B	0.71	B	0.71
SW 182 Avenue to SW 177 Avenue		4LD	C	3,300	1,042	B	42	321	62	424	1,466	B	0.44	B	0.44	D	1.46	B	0.71	B	0.71
SW 177 Avenue to US-1		4LD	C	3,300	2,014	B	34	266	43	343	2,357	B	0.71	B	0.71	C	1.854	C	0.91	C	0.91
US-1 to SW 167 Avenue		4LD	C	2,030	1,749	C	10	80	14	105											
<b>SW 192 AVENUE</b>	SW 296 Street to SW 328 Street	2LU	D	1,390	393	C	15	119	11	145	538	C	0.39	C	0.39						
SW 328 Street to SW 336 Street		2LU	C	870	393	C	15	119	11	145	538	C	0.62	C	0.62						
SW 336 Street to SW 344 Street		2LU	C	870	393	C	15	119	11	145	538	C	0.62	C	0.62						
SW 344 Street to SW 360 Street		2LU	D	1,560	393	B	4	28	8	40	432	C	0.28	C	0.28						
<b>SW 187 AVENUE</b>	SW 296 Street to SW 304 Street	2LU	E	1,610	940	C	2	13	5	20	960	C	0.60	C	0.60						
SW 304 Street to SW 312 Street		2LU	E	1,610	940	C	3	24	8	35	975	C	0.61	C	0.61						
SW 312 Street to SW 320 Street		2LU	E	1,610	940	C	8	59	11	78	1,018	C	0.63	C	0.63						
SW 320 Street to SW 328 Street		2LU	E	1,610	838	C	4	34	28	67	905	C	0.56	C	0.56						
SW 328 Street to SW 344 Street		2LU	C	1,310	1,001	C	14	105	55	173	1,175	C	0.90	C	0.90						
SW 344 Street to SW 352 Street		2LU	C	870	375	C	2	14	3	19	394	C	0.45	C	0.45						
<b>SW 182 AVENUE</b>	SW 312 Street to SW 320 Street	2LU	E	1,480	561	C	6	48	11	66	627	C	0.42	C	0.42						
SW 320 Street to SW 328 Street		2LU	E	1,480	615	C	6	48	6	60	675	C	0.46	C	0.46						
SW 328 Street to SW 344 Street		2LU	C	870	483	C	1	11	3	16	499	C	0.57	C	0.57						

**TABLE 5F - CUMULATIVE IMPACT OF 13, 14, 15  
CDMP AMENDMENT APPLICATIONS 13, 14, 15  
YEAR 2015 TWO-WAY PM PEAK HOUR TOTAL TRAFFIC CONDITIONS**

ROADWAY SEGMENTS	2015 LANES	ADOPTED LOS	TWO-WAY PEAK HOUR CAPACITY	2015 TWO-WAY PM PEAK HR W/O PROJECT	FUTURE E WITHOUT PROJECT LOS	No. 13	No. 14	No. 15	Total		2015 TWO-WAY PM PEAK HR with Project	FUTURE WITH PROJECT LOS	FUTURE WITH PROJECT V/C
									Net External Trips 74	Net External Trips 571	Net External Trips 135	Net External Trips 780	
<b>SW 177 AVENUE</b>													
SW 296 Street to SW 304 Street	2LD	D	1,638	1,443	D	1	11	4	17	18	1,460	D	0.89
SW 304 Street to SW 312 Street	2LD	D	1,638	1,443	D	1	11	5	18	18	1,461	D	0.89
SW 312 Street to SW 320 Street	2LD	D	1,638	1,706	F	1	11	5	18	18	1,724	F	1.05
SW 320 Street to SW 328 Street	2LD	D	1,638	1,706	F	1	11	3	16	16	1,722	F	1.05
SW 328 Street to SW 336 Street	4LD	C	3,300	885	B	1	11	3	16	16	901	B	0.27
SW 336 Street to SW 344 Street	4LD	C	3,300	885	B	1	11	3	16	16	901	B	0.27
SW 344 Street to SW 352 Street	4LD	C	3,300	504	B	7	55	19	81	81	585	B	0.18
SW 352 Street to US-1	4LD	D	3,390	504	B	7	55	19	81	81	585	B	0.17
<b>SW 167 AVENUE</b>													
US-1 to SW 312 Street	2LU	E	1,480	838	C	3	23	5	31	31	869	C	0.59
SW 312 Street to SW 320 Street	2LU	E	1,480	770	C	3	23	5	31	31	801	C	0.54
SW 320 Street to SW 328 Street	2LU	E	1,480	814	C	3	23	5	31	31	845	C	0.57
SW 328 Street to SW 344 Street	2LU	E	1,480	860	C	3	23	5	31	31	891	D	0.60
<b>US-1</b>													
SW 296 Street to SW 304 Street	4LD	EE	4,068	2,963	C	10	80	35	126	126	3,089	C	0.76
SW 304 Street to SW 312 Street	4LD	EE	4,068	2,963	C	10	80	35	126	126	3,089	C	0.76
SW 312 Street to SW 320 Street	4LD	EE	4,068	2,909	C	10	80	35	126	126	3,089	C	0.76
SW 320 Street to SW 328 Street	4LD	C	3,300	2,909	C	6	46	22	73	73	3,034	C	0.75
SW 328 Street to SW 336 Street	4LD	C	3,300	2,909	C	26	200	50	276	276	2,982	C	0.90
SW 336 Street to SW 344 Street	4LD	C	3,300	2,511	B	4	31	6	41	41	2,552	B	0.77
SW 344 Street to SW 177 Avenue	4LD	EE	4,068	2,211	B	7	55	6	68	68	2,279	B	0.56
<b>CARD SOUND ROAD</b>													
US-1 to SW 360 Street	2LU	C	1,310	748	C	4	31	13	48	48	797	C	0.61
SW 360 Street to the South	2LU	C	1,310	693	C	4	31	13	48	48	741	C	0.57
<b>HEFT</b>													
SW 312 Street to US-1	4LEXPY	D	6,510	5,879	D	20	155	28	203	203	6,082	D	0.93

Notes:

[1] The widening of SW 328 Street to 4 lanes is funded in TIP 2007, PVN671605, Section A5, page 78.

The widening of SW 177 Avenue to 4 lanes from US-1 to SW 328 Street is funded in TIP 2007, DT4056753, Section A1, page 34. SW 177 Avenue from SW 328 Street to SW 296 Street will be reconstructed as a 2LD roadway with turn lanes at intersections.

[2] The adopted LOS standards are consistent with the City and County Comprehensive Plans.

[3] The two-way peak hour roadway capacities are obtained from the 2002 FDOT Quality/LOS Handbook.

[4] Requires the addition of two travel lanes from SW 192 Avenue to SW 182 Avenue.

**TABLE 6A**  
**CDMP APPLICATION NUMBERS 13, 14, 15**  
**TRAFFIC GROWTH RATE CALCULATIONS**

ROADWAY	DIR	COUNT STATION	AADT 1998	AADT 1999	AADT 2000	AADT 2001	AADT 2002	AADT 2003	AADT 2004	AADT 2005	COMPOUND GROWTH
<b>SR 997/KROME AVENUE</b>											
S. OF SW 296 STREET	N/S	FDOT-0043	16,300	13,900	11,700	14,500	11,600	15,800	16,900	16,200	-0.09%
S. OF NE 8 STREET	N/S	FDOT-5017	14,200	14,500	16,700	14,300	16,500	16,800	18,600	14,700	0.50%
S. OF SE 8 STREET	N/S	FDOT-0131	11,900	11,600	10,200	9,400	11,100	10,500	10,200	11,900	0.00%
NW. OF US-1	N/S	FDOT-0518	2,300	2,600	2,300	3,500	3,400	3,800	5,100	4,900	11.41%
<b>TOTAL FOR ALL STATIONS:</b>			<b>44,700</b>	<b>42,600</b>	<b>40,900</b>	<b>41,700</b>	<b>42,600</b>	<b>46,900</b>	<b>50,800</b>	<b>47,700</b>	<b>0.93%</b>
<b>SR 5/US-1</b>											
N. OF SW 112 AVENUE	N/S	FDOT-0346	46,500	45,000	44,500	48,500	46,500	49,500	52,500	48,500	0.60%
S. OF SW 232 STREET	N/S	FDOT-0008	43,000	43,500	41,500	42,500	38,500	38,500	41,500	35,500	-2.70%
N. OF SW 288 STREET	N/S	FDOT-2521	35,000	36,500	38,500	36,500	40,000	32,500	31,500	36,500	0.60%
N. OF SW 308 STREET	N/S	FDOT-0545	24,000	21,600	27,500	28,000	33,000	32,500	32,500	31,500	3.96%
N. OF LUCY STREET/SW 328 ST	N/S	FDOT-0544	19,700	22,500	25,500	25,000	27,500	30,000	30,000	29,000	5.68%
S. OF PALM DRIVE/SW 344 ST	N/S	FDOT-0543	20,000	23,000	19,800	20,500	23,500	21,000	26,500	26,000	3.82%
<b>TOTAL FOR ALL STATIONS:</b>			<b>188,200</b>	<b>192,100</b>	<b>197,300</b>	<b>201,000</b>	<b>209,000</b>	<b>204,000</b>	<b>214,500</b>	<b>207,000</b>	<b>1.37%</b>
<b>SR 9336/PALM DRIVE</b>											
W. OF SW 2 AVENUE	E/W	FDOT-0084	6,300	7,300	7,600	11,000	10,400	12,700	13,600	15,900	14.14%
E. OF KROME AVENUE	E/W	FDOT-2548	n/a	n/a	14,400	14,700	19,100	20,300	21,000	16,700	3.01%
<b>TOTAL FOR ALL STATIONS:</b>					<b>22,000</b>	<b>25,700</b>	<b>29,500</b>	<b>33,000</b>	<b>34,600</b>	<b>32,600</b>	<b>8.18%</b>
<b>SR 9336/INGRAM HWY</b>											
E. OF EVERGLADES PARK ENTRANCE	N/S	FDOT- 0131	2,200	1,550	1,650	900	1,950	2,300	2,400	2,200	0.00%
<b>TOTAL FOR ALL STATIONS:</b>			<b>2,200</b>	<b>1,550</b>	<b>1,650</b>	<b>900</b>	<b>1,950</b>	<b>2,300</b>	<b>2,400</b>	<b>2,200</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
<b>AVERAGE ARTERIAL AND COLLECTOR GROWTH RATE</b>											
<b>HALF RATE WHEN INCORPORATING COMMITTED DEVELOPMENTS</b>											
			<b>261,850</b>	<b>269,300</b>	<b>283,050</b>	<b>286,200</b>	<b>302,300</b>	<b>289,500</b>	<b>2.03%</b>		
											<b>1.01%</b>

**TABLE 6B**  
**CDMP APPLICATION NUMBERS 13, 14, 15**  
**TRAFFIC GROWTH RATE CALCULATIONS - FLORIDA'S TURNPIKE**

ROADWAY	DIR	COUNT STATION	AADT 1995	AADT 1996	AADT 1997	AADT 1998	AADT 1999	AADT 2000	AADT 2001	AADT 2002	AADT 2003	AADT 2004	AADT 2005	COMPOUND GROWTH 1995 to 2005
<b>HEFT</b>														
N. OF SW 216 STREET	N/S	FDOT-2256	63,200	59,300	52,100	55,800	69,200	70,300	74,100	77,300	84,200	93,200	101,500	4.85%
N. OF SW 137 AVENUE	N/S	FDOT-2258	34,500	31,700	27,900	28,500	29,600	30,900	34,100	36,900	42,900	53,500	59,000	5.51%
S. OF SW 288 STREET	N/S	FDOT-2260	30,100	27,500	24,500	24,700	25,500	25,700	28,800	30,200	35,800	40,400	44,500	3.99%
S. OF SW 312 STREET	N/S	FDOT-2262	16,000	14,000	13,200	17,300	17,400	20,200	20,500	26,000	28,000	30,100	30,100	6.52%
<b>TOTAL FOR ALL STATIONS:</b>			<b>143,800</b>	<b>132,500</b>	<b>117,700</b>	<b>126,300</b>	<b>141,600</b>	<b>144,300</b>	<b>157,200</b>	<b>164,900</b>	<b>188,900</b>	<b>215,100</b>	<b>235,100</b>	<b>5.04%</b>
<b>HALF RATE WHEN INCORPORATING COMMITTED DEVELOPMENTS</b>														
														<b>2.52%</b>

## **Conclusions**

### **Access to Regional Roadways**

Primary access to Applications 13, 14 and 15 is provided off of SW 344 Street, extending approximately 1.5 miles west from US-1 to SW 192 Avenue. SW 344 Street is classified as a State Minor Arterial from US-1 to SW 192 Avenue, and exists today as a 4 lane divided roadway from SW 137 Avenue (on the east) to SW 182 Avenue (on the west). The portion of SW 344 Street adjacent to Application 15 would be improved as part of their subdivision obligations, however each of the three applications cumulatively will benefit from the expansion of SW 344 Street to 4 travel lanes from SW 182 Avenue to SW 192 Avenue.

### **Access to Transit**

The amendment sites are located within 1.5 miles of the Premium Transit Busway Corridor which is programmed for completion in 2007. This transit corridor provides a convenient and direct connection to the Miami-Dade County premium transit system providing extensive transit access system-wide and county-wide.

### **Roadway/Infrastructure Commitments**

The amendment site sits within an urbanized area that is already improving key regional roadway corridors as part of TIP 2007 inclusive of US-1, Card Sound Road, SW 177 Avenue, SW 328 Street and the completion of the Busway Corridor.

### **Traffic Concurrency Standards**

Pursuant to the Miami-Dade County Concurrency Management System, all study area traffic count stations on roadways adjacent to each of the amendment sites were found to operate at acceptable levels of service during the PM peak hour, accounting for existing traffic, previously approved committed development traffic, plus the traffic from each of the proposed amendment sites (individually and cumulatively). Available capacity and acceptable levels of service are maintained for the adjacent count stations and the study area roadway segments, meeting the traffic concurrency standards from the Miami-Dade County Comprehensive Development Master Plan.

### **Year 2015 Ten Year Planning Period**

An evaluation of the Year 2015 Ten Year Planning Period for the study area roadways surrounding each of the amendment sites has been completed to determine the adequacy of the roadway infrastructure to meet the adopted LOS standards. Acceptable levels of service are largely met through the Ten Year Planning Period, after incorporating the funded TIP roadway improvements surrounding the amendment site, and the Priority I and Priority II improvements from the Year 2030 Long Range Transportation Plan. Adopted level of service standards were found to be met under Year 2015 total traffic conditions with the amendment sites for all roadway segments except for the following:

- Under all Applications individually and cumulatively, the 2 lane section of SW 177 Avenue (Krome Avenue) from SW 328 Street to SW 312 Street is not operating at acceptable levels of service, where the City of Homestead has chosen to keep a 2 lane divided cross section after improvements, rather than expand to a four lane section per Priority II of the LRTP. Each of the individual Applications 13, 14 and 15 have a traffic assignment to SW 177 Avenue which is less than 1% of the capacity of the roadway, thus falling below the significant thresholds as defined by FDOT in reference to new SB 360.
- The Year 2015 link analysis for Application 14 and the cumulative analysis for all three applications demonstrate the need to widen SW 344 Street from 2 to 4 lanes, from SW 192 Avenue to SW 182 Avenue. The current 4 lane section ends at SW 182 Avenue. A portion of the four lane section would be a subdivision improvement obligation for Application 15, however each of the three applications would benefit from an expansion of SW 344 Street to 4 lanes from SW 182 Avenue to SW 187 Avenue.

# **APPENDIX E**

## **Fiscal Impact Analysis**

April 2006 Cycle

Application No. 15

## **FISCAL IMPACTS ON INFRASTRUCTURE AND SERVICES**

On October 23, 2001, the Board of County Commissioners adopted Ordinance 01-163 requiring the review procedures for amendments to the Comprehensive Development Master Plan (CDMP) to include a written evaluation of fiscal impacts for any proposed land use change. The following is a fiscal evaluation of Application No. 15 to amend the Comprehensive Development Master Plan (CDMP) from county departments and agencies responsible for supplying and maintaining infrastructure and services relevant to the CDMP. The evaluation estimates the incremental and cumulative impact the costs of the required infrastructure and service, and the extent to which the costs will be borne by the property owners or will require general taxpayer support and includes an estimate of that support.

The agencies used various methodologies to make their calculations. The agencies rely on a variety of sources for revenue, such as, property taxes, impact fees, connection fees, user fees, gas taxes, taxing districts, general fund contribution, federal and state grants; federal funds, etc. Certain variables, such as property use, location, number of dwelling units, and type of units were considered by the service agencies in developing their cost estimates.

### **Solid Waste Services**

#### **Concurrency**

Since the DSWM assesses capacity system-wide based, in part, on existing waste delivery commitments from both the private and public sectors, it is not possible to make determinations concerning the adequacy of solid waste disposal facilities relative to each individual application. Instead, the DSWM issues a periodic assessment of the County's status in terms of 'Concurrency' – that is, the ability to maintain a minimum of five (5) years of waste disposal capacity system-wide. The County is committed to maintaining this level in compliance with Chapter 163, Part II F.S. and currently exceeds that standard by nearly four (4) years.

#### **Residential Collection and Disposal Service**

The incremental cost of adding a residential unit to the DSWM Service Area, which includes the disposal cost of waste, is offset by the annual fee charges to the user. Currently, that fee is \$399 per residential unit. For a residential dumpster, the current fee is \$308. The average residential unit currently generates approximately 3.0 tons of waste annually, which includes garbage, trash and recycled waste.

As reported in March 2005 to the State of Florida, Department of Environmental Protection, for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2004, the full cost per unit of providing waste Collection Service was \$370 including disposal and other Collections services such as, illegal dumping clean-up and code enforcement.

### **Waste Disposal Capacity and Service**

The incremental and cumulative costs of providing disposal capacity for DSWM Collections, private haulers, and municipalities are paid for by the users. The DSWM charges a disposal tipping fee at a contract rate of \$53.65 per ton to DSWM Collections and to those private haulers and municipalities with long term disposal agreements with the Department. For non-contract haulers, the rate is \$70.75. These rates adjust annually with the Consumer Price Index, South. In addition, the DSWM charges a Disposal Facility Fee to private haulers equal to 15 percent of their annual gross receipts, which is targeted to ensure capacity in operations. Landfill closure is funded by a portion of the Utility Service Fee charged to all retail and wholesale customers of the County's Water and Sewer Department.

### **Water and Sewer**

The Miami-Dade County Water and Sewer Department provides for the majority of water and sewer service throughout the county. The cost estimates provided herein are preliminary and final project costs will vary from these estimates. The final costs for the project and resulting feasibility will depend on actual labor and material costs, competitive market conditions, final project scope implementation schedule, continuity of personnel and other variable factors. Assuming Application No. 15 is built at the maximum residential density of 13 dwelling units per gross acre allowed under the proposed redesignation of Low-Medium Density Residential, the fees paid by the developer would be \$93,478 for water impact fee, \$376,600 for sewer impact fee, \$1,300 per unit for connection fee, and \$54,012 for annual operating and maintenance costs based on approved figures through September 30,2005.

### **Flood Protection**

The Department of Environmental Resources Management (DERM) is restricted to the enforcement of current stormwater management and disposal regulations. These regulations require that all new development provide full on-site retention of the stormwater runoff generated by the development. The drainage systems serving new developments are not allowed to impact existing or proposed public stormwater disposal systems, or to impact adjacent properties. The County is not responsible for providing flood protection to private properties, although it is the County's responsibility to ensure and verify that said protection has been incorporated in the plans for each proposed development.

The above noted determinations are predicated upon the provisions of Chapter 46, Section 4611.1 of the South Florida Building Code; Section 24-58.3(G) of the Code of Miami-Dade County, Florida; Chapter 40E-40 Florida Administrative Code, Basis of

Review South Florida Water Management District (SFWMD); and Section D4 Part 2 of the Public Works Manual of Miami-Dade County. All these legal provisions emphasize the requirement for full on-site retention of stormwater as a post development condition for all proposed commercial, industrial, and residential subdivisions.

Additionally, DERM staff notes that new development, within the urbanized area of the County, is assessed a stormwater utility fee. This fee commensurate with the percentage of impervious area of each parcel of land, and is assessed pursuant to the requirements of Section 24-61, Article IV, of the Code of Miami-Dade County. Finally, according to the same Code Section, the proceedings may only be utilized for the maintenance and improvement of public storm drainage systems.

Based upon the above noted considerations, it is the opinion of DERM that Ordinance No. 01-163 will not change, reverse, or affect these factual requirements.

### **Public Schools**

Application No. 15 will result in 74 additional students, thus, increasing operating costs by \$484,626 and capital costs by \$312,448.

April 2006 Cycle

Application No. 15

## **APPENDIX F**

### **Proposed Declaration of Restrictions**

No Declarations of Restrictions were submitted as of July 28, 2006.

April 2006 Cycle

Application No. 15

## **APPENDIX G**

### **Photos of Application Site and Surroundings**

April 2006 Cycle

Application No. 15



Site and adjacent lands south of site viewed from SW 344 Street



Goldcoaster RV Resort and Mobile Home Community adjacent to site



Robert's Fruit Stand west of site



Fallow land and Cameron Creek Apartments north of site